

## **Appendix 7-1**

# **2018/19 Winter Bird Survey Report**



**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
Engineering and Environmental Consultants

## Winter 2018/2019 Bird Surveys Shronowen Wind Farm





ISSUE FORM	
Project number	19746
Document number	6002
Document revision	A
Document title	Shronowen Wind Farm - Bird Surveys - Winter 2018/2019.
Document status	Final
Document prepared by	DO'B [MWP] 2020
Document checked by	PR [MWP] 27/3/2020

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>PURPOSE OF SURVEY</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>CONSTRAINTS</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>SURVEY DESIGN</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>SCOPING TO IDENTIFY TARGET SPECIES</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Criteria for Selection of Target Species</b> .....	<b>5</b>
6.1.1	Legislative Protection and Conservation Status.....	5
6.1.2	Potential Effects of Wind Farms on Birds.....	6
6.1.3	Existing data, Records and Expert Knowledge .....	6
6.1.4	Changes to the Target Species Lists .....	6
<b>7</b>	<b>SITE RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>DESK STUDY</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>Description of the Survey Area</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Bird Sensitivity to Wind Energy Development</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>8.3</b>	<b>Sites of International Importance in Proximity to the Survey Area</b> .....	<b>11</b>
8.3.1	Special Protection Areas (SPAs) - Birds Directive Species .....	11
8.3.2	Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs) and Ramsar Sites .....	12
<b>8.4</b>	<b>Species Known from the Area</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>SELECTION OF SURVEY TYPES</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>9.1</b>	<b>Distribution and Abundance Surveys</b> .....	<b>14</b>
9.1.1	Moorland Breeding Birds .....	14
9.1.2	Raptors and Owls .....	14
9.1.3	Breeding Divers .....	14
9.1.4	Red Grouse ( <i>Lagopus lagopus hibernicus</i> ) Survey .....	15
9.1.5	Woodland Passerines .....	15
9.1.6	Nocturnal Species.....	15
9.1.7	Lowland and Farmland Birds.....	15
9.1.8	Wintering and Migratory Waterfowl, especially Geese and Swans .....	16
<b>10</b>	<b>SELECTION OF TARGET SPECIES</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>10.1</b>	<b>Primary Target Species</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>10.2</b>	<b>Secondary Target Species</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>10.3</b>	<b>Changes to the Target Species Lists</b> .....	<b>18</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>VANTAGE POINT (VP) SURVEYS</b> .....	<b>25</b>

<b>11.1</b>	<b>Vantage Point (VP) Methodology .....</b>	<b>26</b>
11.1.1	Behaviour Codes .....	27
11.1.2	Habitat Codes <sup>25</sup> .....	27
<b>12</b>	<b>RESULTS: TARGET SPECIES ACTIVITY .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>12.1</b>	<b>Primary Target Species .....</b>	<b>28</b>
12.1.1	Hen harrier Observations.....	28
12.1.2	Kestrel Observations.....	30
12.1.3	Sparrowhawk Observations .....	32
12.1.4	Whooper swan Observations .....	33
12.1.5	Curlew .....	35
<b>12.2</b>	<b>Secondary Target Species .....</b>	<b>39</b>
12.2.1	Cormorant Observations .....	39
12.2.2	Snipe Observations .....	40
<b>12.3</b>	<b>Other species observed .....</b>	<b>43</b>
12.3.1	Mallard Observations .....	43
<b>13</b>	<b>DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>47</b>

## Table of Figures

Figure 1: Site Location in red .....	3
Figure 2: Corine Landcover (2006) [from EPA Maps] .....	7
Figure 3: Bird Sensitivity to Wind Energy Development (from <a href="http://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/#/Map">http://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/#/Map</a> ).....	10
Figure 4: Bird Sensitivity to Wind Energy Development (from <a href="http://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/#/Map">http://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/#/Map</a> ).....	11
Figure 5: VP Locations.....	26
Figure 6: Hen harrier flight paths.....	29
Figure 7: Kestrel flight paths .....	31
Figure 8: Sparrowhawk flight paths .....	32
Figure 9: Location of whooper swan foraging ground.....	34
Figure 10: Cormorant flight paths.....	39
Figure 11: Snipe flight paths .....	40
Figure 12: Mallard flight paths.....	43

## Table of Tables

Table 1: Determining the sensitivity and importance of bird species (adapted from Percival, 2003) ...	5
Table 2: Feeding habits and preferred foraging habitat type .....	16
Table 3: Target Species Ratings and Rationale for the Ratings Assigned .....	19
Table 4: Total Observation Time .....	29
Table 5 : Total Observation Time .....	30
Table 6: Total Observation Time .....	33
Table 7: Summary characteristics of hen harrier flights observed .....	36
Table 8: Summary characteristics of kestrel flights observed .....	36
Table 9: Summary characteristics of sparrowhawk flights observed .....	38
Table 10: Total Observation Time .....	39
Table 11: Total Observation Time .....	40
Table 12: Summary characteristics of cormorant flights observed .....	42
Table 13: Summary characteristics of snipe flights observed.....	42
Table 12: Total Observation Time .....	43
Table 14: Summary characteristics of mallard flights observed .....	44

## List of Appendices

<b>Appendix 1</b>	NPWS Recommended Methodology for Assessment of Impacts of Proposed Windfarms
<b>Appendix 2</b>	Survey Field Sheets
<b>Appendix 3</b>	Vantage Point Survey Summary
<b>Appendix 4</b>	Target/Secondary Species Observations
<b>Appendix 5</b>	Flight Paths and Activity Areas
<b>Appendix 6</b>	Non-Target Species of Conservation Concern recorded during VP Surveys
<b>Appendix 7</b>	List of All Species Recorded

NOTE: The following conventions have been followed with regard to species.

- 1. First instance of any species name in the text: Common name followed by full form Scientific Name**

Daisy (*Bellis perennis*)

- 2. 2<sup>nd</sup> instance: Common name followed by abbreviated Scientific Name**

Daisy (*B. perennis*)

- 3. Within tables: 1 or 2 above depending on circumstance.**

- 4. In Headings and within body of text: Unless first instance – Common name only**

Daisy



## 1 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Only five of the 13 Primary Target Species<sup>1</sup> and two of the 15 Secondary Target Species were recorded during the survey period. The numbers of observations of individual Target Species, and the activity of bird species generally, was extremely low.

The species recorded are as follows:

- Primary Target Species:
  - Hen harrier (*Circus cyaneus*): 4 observations;
  - Kestrel (*Falco tinnunculus*): 8 observations;
  - Sparrowhawk (*Accipiter nisus*): 3 observations;
  - Whooper swan (*Cygnus cygnus*): 6 observations; and
  - Curlew (*Numenius arquata*): 1 occurrence of a bird calling.
  
- Secondary Target Species
  - Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*): 2 observations;
  - Snipe (*Gallinago gallinago*): 2 observations; and

In addition, non target species namely, mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) was also recorded.

While the full results of the survey are described in comprehensive detail in **Section 12**, a brief summary is presented here for information and for ease of review.

Hen harrier was recorded on four occasions each of which comprised a brief observation only and none of which extended beyond 30 seconds. While lengthier observations of this species can, and do, occur the characteristic speed and agility of this rapidly flying, powerful, stealth predator are such that brief glimpses of individuals, hugging the ground as they hunt, are typical and the hunting style used conceals individuals from prey and observer alike as the birds hide in the micro-topography and the low slung vegetation of their hunting grounds. Kestrel was recorded on 8 occasions and, as would be expected of this species, because of its habit of hovering in place, for prolonged periods, while hunting, these observations were generally quite lengthy. The three sightings of sparrowhawk also reflected the behaviours of this agile hunter which will often perch on objects or at locations that offer an open view of the hunting grounds when seeking opportunities to hunt and individuals will even pursue prey on foot, along branches in trees and shrubs or on the ground, if the quarry seeks to use cover in attempting to elude it. The survey data indicates that, during the survey period, predators, either as a group or as individual species, were not active or present at the proposed wind farm site to any significant extent. These data would suggest that, during the survey period, the location, while within the foraging ranges of these species, was used sporadically rather than consistently.

While the observations of whooper swan did not occur during VP watches they are included in this report as they are of material significance to any description of bird activity in the area. Potential foraging grounds that had been identified during the site reconnaissance surveys were resurveyed while the surveyors were en-route to and from the site before and after VP sessions. A feeding flock

---

<sup>1</sup> See **Section 10**

was first observed at one of these locations, shown in **Figure 9**, on February 9<sup>th</sup> and this occurred on a further five occasions between that date and the end of the survey period on March 31<sup>st</sup>. The observations are also noteworthy because it demonstrates that, notwithstanding the proximity of this foraging site to the proposed wind farm, no evidence of whooper swans foraging within the proposed site or of swans transecting through the site was recorded during the survey period. As it is known that swans typically follow traditional flight paths, to and from roosting sites and foraging grounds and between foraging grounds, it is reasonable to infer, from the absence evidence that this, over wintering migratory, species commuted through the site during the survey period, that this species does not routinely commute through the proposed wind farm site during any winter.

The one occasion on which a curlew was heard calling (from VP2 on the November 11<sup>th</sup>) and the two observations each of snipe and cormorant in flight do not comprise sufficient data from which to draw any inferences or conclusions beyond the observation that these species were not recorded to any significant extent, at the proposed wind farm site, during the survey period.

## 2 INTRODUCTION

Malachy Walsh and Partners, Engineering and Environmental Consultants, were commissioned by Emerging Markets Power (NI) Ltd., to conduct bird surveys, during the winter of 2018-2019, at the location of a proposed wind farm development at Shronowen Bog near Ballylongford, County Kerry, (Irish Grid Co-ordinates: R 00498 40715). The survey area, outlined in red, in **Figure 1**, below, includes the proposed development site and areas adjacent.

This report : comprises a description of those surveys and the results.




Figure 1: Site Location in red

## 3 PURPOSE OF SURVEY

The survey was designed to determine the mix of species present and their behaviours and distribution within the survey area during the survey period. As reliable comparisons can then be made between these data and any subsequent survey data and, collectively, these will form a baseline upon which any future monitoring/multiyear surveys may be compared and, in the event of a consent application, will inform any impact assessments. The survey was conducted in compliance with the primary guidance used by the competent authorities in Ireland when assessing planning applications for a wind farm in circumstances where the impacts on avian ecology are germane, namely SNH (2017).

In summary the survey design will identify the species assemblage and the spatial and temporal distribution of activity. The range of methods used and survey effort involved are site and species

specific and are informed by a desk study, site reconnaissance, by extensive survey experience in the surrounding area and by knowledge of the bird assemblage present in the north Kerry area.

#### 4 CONSTRAINTS

Surveyors did not have permission to access any lands outside the client's control. However, this did not impose a significant constraint on sampling as these lands comprise, almost exclusively, agricultural grassland habitats and it was expected, in light of the fact that several of the vantage points are located close to these agricultural habitats, that the typical species associated with these areas would be detected during the vantage point surveys.

#### 5 SURVEY DESIGN

Compliance with SNH (2017) requires that two main broad survey types are included in the survey design.

- **Distribution and Abundance Surveys.** These are surveys to record numbers and distribution of breeding, wintering and migrant birds using the site. They will allow the evaluation of a site's importance and provide information to help quantify predicted impacts from disturbance and displacement.
- **Vantage Point (VP) Surveys.** These surveys, which, in the case of the Shronowen site, were required, comprise a series of watches from a fixed location to quantify the flight activity of birds at a proposed development site, which provides data to estimate the collision risk.

The decision as to which of the survey methodologies are required is based on the outcome of a scoping exercise which determines which species are considered likely to use the habitats in the study area.

The survey includes a number of methodologies, described in **Sections 9.1** and **11**, below, that have been selected, from the list of survey types identified in SNH (2017), for their capacity to detect and record the activities of the species expected to be present in the survey area during the survey period. The methodologies selected ensured that a structured approach to survey work was implemented throughout. While all aspects of the activities of the observed Target Species were recorded, the primary aim of the surveys is to understand bird use of the survey area; a secondary purpose is to provide data for Collision Risk Modelling (CRM). A detailed description of how information on flight behaviours was recorded will be provided, under the appropriate headings, in **Section 11**.

The survey design and execution is informed by extensive in house experience across a broad range of comparable surveys conducted in similar areas with specific reference to those carried out in the north Kerry and west Limerick.

## 6 SCOPING TO IDENTIFY TARGET SPECIES

Compliance with SNH (2017) requires that prior to the commencement of surveys a scoping exercise is carried out to determine a broad overview of which species are likely to be at the site, their likely sensitivity to impacts from wind farms and the proximity of relevant designated sites. This allows the selection of Target Species (see **Section 9**) and these species will form the basis of the survey programme.

### 6.1 CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF TARGET SPECIES

#### 6.1.1 Legislative Protection and Conservation Status

When compiling the list(s) of Target Species, consideration of legislative protection and conservation status are of primary importance, In this regard, there are three important species lists from which Target Species may be drawn:

- Listed in Annex 1 of the EC Birds Directive;
- Protected under the Wildlife Acts, 1976 to 2012; and
- Red-listed species as per Colhoun & Cummins (2013)<sup>2</sup>.

Within the scope of the criteria outlined above, SNH (2017) recommends that the Target Species should be limited to:

- Those species which are afforded a higher level of legislative protection; and
- Those species which, as a result of their behaviours, are more likely to be subject to impact from wind farms.

A precautionary approach was adopted and the selection followed the guidance set out for determining the sensitivity and importance of bird species as outlined in Percival (2003). Percival's methodology was considered alongside the other literature relating to the effects of wind farms on birds as reviewed in Whitfield and Madders (2006) and Drewitt and Langston (2006). These sensitivities were evaluated using the criteria set out in **Table 1**. When compiling the list cognisance was also taken of the constraints imposed on the distributions on the species due to their known habitat requirements and distributions.<sup>3</sup> Those species selected as Primary Target Species are listed in **Section 10.1** and those selected as Secondary Target Species are listed in **Section 10.2**.

**Table 1: Determining the sensitivity and importance of bird species (adapted from Percival, 2003)**

Sensitivity	Determining Factor
VERY HIGH	Where the site is an SPA
	Species present in nationally important numbers (>1% Irish population)
HIGH	Ecologically sensitive species (e.g. divers, common scoter, golden eagle, hen harrier, chough and roseate tern)
	EU Bird Directive Annex I species
	Red-listed Species of Conservation Concern
MEDIUM	Amber-listed Species of Conservation Concern

<sup>2</sup> Birds on the Red List birds are those of highest conservation concern, Amber List birds are of medium conservation concern and the Green List birds are not considered threatened.

<sup>3</sup> As outlined at <https://www.birdwatchireland.ie>

	Species present in locally important numbers (>1% of county population)
LOW	Amber-listed Species

### 6.1.2 Potential Effects of Wind Farms on Birds

Detailed knowledge of bird distribution and flight activity is necessary in order to predict the potential effects of a wind farm on birds. However, the scope and scale of the survey data taken and the suite of species on which data is collected should be informed by the analysis that wind farms present three main potential risks to birds (Drewitt & Langston 2006, 2008; Band *et al.* 2007, cited in SNH, 2017). These are:

- Direct habitat loss through construction of wind farm infrastructure;
- Displacement (sometimes called indirect habitat loss) if birds avoid the wind farm and its surrounding area due to turbine construction and operation. Displacement may also include barrier effects in which birds are deterred from using normal routes to feeding or roosting grounds; and
- Death through collision or interaction with turbine blades and other infrastructure.

Due to the unique ecology of each species each will have different sensitivities to each of these three impact sources.

### 6.1.3 Existing data, Records and Expert Knowledge

Cognisance must also be taken of existing data and records, expert knowledge of the species assemblage present in the wider north Kerry/west Limerick area, and the influence on bird distribution of the habitat mix within and adjacent to the survey area whose presence within the survey area is reasonably foreseeable in light of the habitats present, both within the survey area and in the surrounding landscape.

### 6.1.4 Changes to the Target Species Lists

As no previous surveys have been conducted at the site, as surveys progressed the data collected informed the survey design and the Target Species list was subject to change in the event that additional species that matched the criteria outlined above were observed.

## 7 SITE RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY

As per SNH (2017) requirements that, prior to the commencement of surveys, a scoping exercise is carried out reconnaissance of the site and its surrounds was carried out by MWP staff ecologists. These visits enabled an evaluation to be made of the habitat characteristics of the site and the identification of VP locations considered suitable to provide maximum site coverage. As stipulated by the client, all surveys were undertaken within lands within which landowner's permission had been arranged or on public roads. Access was not permitted to private lands outside the client's control.

## 8 DESK STUDY

### 8.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE SURVEY AREA

The site largely comprises cut-over bog (*sensu* Fossitt, 2000), which in its original form was a blanket bog, but which is now substantially cut-over and significantly altered by turf cutting. It is situated within a landscape dominated by agricultural grassland habitats and with some commercial conifer

plantations against which the bog itself abuts (see **Figure 2** for Corine Landcover where they are represented in yellow and green, respectively)<sup>4</sup>. The topography of the site is essentially flat, albeit, with the slight peat dome that is a characteristic of the lowland bog type. The site is intersected by a network of access tracks of robust construction that, while too rough for cars, are, for the most part, in good condition.

Turbary rights pertain to the entire site and much of the original peat mass has been removed. While a large central area remains relatively uncut, a crisscross network of drains intersects the site and significant proportion of the bog now comprises a mix of exhausted banks or banks that are currently being, or historically have been, worked. A significant effect of the peat extraction is the extent to which the water table across the site has been lowered permanently. Because the water table plays an important role in aerobic and anaerobic processes in a bog, the lowering of the water table within the peat boundary, between the upper aerobic acrotelm (living) layer and the underlying, water-logged and compacted, catotelm (dead) layer, has fundamentally altered the peat forming capacity of Shronowen Bog.

While the dominant current practice is removal of peat by excavator to a hopper from which the peat is then extruded (see **Drone Flown Image 1**) there is clear evidence of historic sausage cutting in the eastern part of the site (see **Drone Flown Image 2**). **Aerial Image 1** illustrates the extent to which, over time, the peat mass has been removed progressively and incrementally from the edge of the bog (represented in blue) to the interior area of the peat mass.





Figure 2: Corine Landcover (2006) [from [EPA Maps](#)]

<sup>4</sup> Areas of bog are shown in purple, forestry in green and pastureland is shown in yellow.



**Aerial Image 1: Typical view showing distinct signature of turf banks progressing from edge to centre at northern section of Shronowen Bog. (Red circle: approximate location of Drone Image 1; Yellow circle approximate location of Drone Image 2).**



**Drone Flown Image 1: Extruded turf with excavated bank adjacent (2019)**





**Drone Flown Image 2: Evidence of historic sausage cutting (*parallel 'scars' aligned left to right*)**

The vegetation communities that the bog supports are constrained by the nutrient poor conditions that pertain and the cover currently comprises a relatively uniform and homogenous cover of Purple Moor-grass (*Molinia caerulea*). While heather is present, surveys indicate that it is not a significant component in the overall plant mix. A few isolated treelines are present; these consist primarily of birch (*Betula* spp.) and all are of a relatively low stature with an average canopy height in the region of 5 m. Areas of willow scrub (*Salix* spp.) are also present; however, these are primarily distributed within the transitional marginal habitats that fringe the bog, in the interface areas between the agricultural and commercial forestry habitats and the bog itself. Willow shrub lines also fringe the sides of the tracks in many places. A variety of grasses and ruderal species have colonised the margins along the sides of the tracks where disturbance has disrupted the dominance of the indigenous vegetation that dominates the remainder of the site. A significant proportion of the site comprises bare unvegetated ground which is present in areas where sustained peat extraction has been occurring recently.

While the site is intersected by a network of man-made drains, the only natural water body within the site is an unnamed tributary<sup>5</sup> of the Ballylongford River which drains from a point of origin in the north of the site. Apart from some localised ponding of water in some of the lower lying peat banks no established ponds or other bodies of standing water were noted during the site surveys and none are visible in the range of aerial imagery reviewed<sup>6</sup>. While stands of Bulrush (*Typha latifolia*) are present in some trackside drains in the western part of the site, the individual stands are generally small and localised and the distribution within the site is somewhat uneven and diffuse.

In summary the site is, both topographically and ecologically, relatively homogeneous, a characteristic that inhibits species diversity not only in terms of the floristic communities and insect species but also in the variety of bird species, particularly passerines, likely to be present. It is unlikely to provide significant foraging, roosting or breeding habitats for many bird species.


<sup>5</sup> River Waterbody Code: IE\_SH\_24B030700 <https://gis.epa.ie/EPAMaps/>

<sup>6</sup> OSI aerial imagery (1995 to 2012); Google imagery (2017); Bing (undated)

## 8.2 BIRD SENSITIVITY TO WIND ENERGY DEVELOPMENT

The National Biodiversity Data Centre's (NBDC) on line mapper<sup>7</sup> includes a layer which provides information on sensitivity to wind energy development. This layer is derived from a collation of existing distributional data, which indicates, by assessing the characteristics of a selected number of the most-sensitive bird species, whether protected birds are likely to be sensitive to wind energy developments in the areas mapped. The mapping layer is derived from McGuinness *et al.* (2015) and while it does not include all vulnerable species - due to data and other issues - and does not replace SEA, AA or EIA requirements nor the need to tailor survey and research to specific sites, it provides a useful metric to rank sites, at the initial scoping stage, in terms of their potential sensitivity to wind energy development. The layer has four sensitivity ratings, namely Low, Medium, High and Highest. These ratings are mapped at 2km grid square resolution for which 'All Birds Sensitivity Scores' (ABSS) are provided.

The survey area and the geographical area extending away from it is categorised as Low Sensitivity (see **Figure 3** and **Figure 4**, below) and the ABSS is 14.8.



**Figure 3: Bird Sensitivity to Wind Energy Development** (from <http://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/#/Map>)

<sup>7</sup> <https://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/Map>

## Bird Sensitivity to Wind Energy2




Figure 4: Bird Sensitivity to Wind Energy Development (from <http://maps.biodiversityireland.ie/#/Map>)

### 8.3 SITES OF INTERNATIONAL IMPORTANCE IN PROXIMITY TO THE SURVEY AREA

#### 8.3.1 Special Protection Areas (SPAs) - Birds Directive Species

The survey area is situated approximately 3 km due south of the site boundary of the River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries SPA (004077) which is selected for the conservation of the non-breeding, wintering populations<sup>8</sup> of 21 Special Conservation Interest (SCI) species and for the SCI Wetlands [A999] habitats that are a resource for the regularly-occurring migratory water birds that utilise the SPA. The proposal site is also approximately 10 km to the west of the Stack's to Mullaghareirk Mountains, West Limerick Hills and Mount Eagle SPA (004161) which is selected for the conservation of a resident, breeding, population of one SCI species, namely hen harrier (*Circus cyaneus*) [A082]<sup>9</sup>.

The SCI species for which the River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries SPA (004077) is selected are:

- Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax carbo*) [A017]
- Whooper swan (*Cygnus cygnus*) [A038]
- Light-bellied brent goose (*Branta bernicla hrota*) [A046]
- Shelduck (*Tadorna tadorna*) [A048]
- Wigeon (*Anas penelope*) [A050]
- Teal (*Anas crecca*) [A052]
- Pintail (*Anas acuta*) [A054]

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/natura2000/NF004077.pdf>

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.npws.ie/protected-sites/spa/004161>

- Shoveler (*Anas clypeata*) [A056]
- Scaup (*Aythya marila*) [A062]
- Ringed plover (*Charadrius hiaticula*) [A137]
- Golden plover (*Pluvialis apricaria*) [A140]
- Grey plover (*Pluvialis squatarola*) [A141]
- Lapwing (*Vanellus vanellus*) [A142]
- Knot (*Calidris canutus*) [A143]
- Dunlin (*Calidris alpina*) [A149]
- Black-tailed godwit (*Limosa limosa*) [A156]
- Bar-tailed godwit (*Limosa lapponica*) [A157]
- Curlew (*Numenius arquata*) [A160]
- Redshank (*Tringa totanus*) [A162]
- Greenshank (*Tringa nebularia*) [A164]
- Black-headed gull (*Chroicocephalus ridibundus*) [A179]

This list includes species from a number of groups including, *inter alia*, swans, geese, waders and gulls. While the foraging or breeding behaviours of most of these populations are not strongly associated with the habitats available in the survey area (NPWS, 2012) it is possible that some of the species do overfly the site when commuting between roosting and foraging grounds.

### 8.3.2 Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs) and Ramsar Sites

#### 8.3.2.1 Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs)

The Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBA) Programme is a BirdLife International initiative aimed at identifying and protecting a network of sites critical to the conservation of the world's birds. A total of 140 Important Bird Areas (IBAs) have been identified in Ireland, covering an area of about 4,309 km<sup>2</sup>, equivalent to 6% of the land area. These sites are important for breeding seabirds and for wintering wildfowl.

There are two IBA site within 15km of the survey area, namely the Shannon and Fergus Estuaries (IE08) and The Stacks to Mullaghareirk Mountains, West Limerick Hills and Mount Eagle (IBA Criteria C6 (2009)). Shannon and Fergus Estuaries (IE08) is encompassed within the significantly larger River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries SPA (004077), is one of the most important sites in Ireland for wintering and migrating waterfowl and it supports 10 species in numbers of international importance all which are also protected under the SPA designation. These species are<sup>10</sup>:

- Whooper swan (*C. cygnus*)
- Brent goose (*Branta bernicla*)<sup>11</sup>
- Scaup (*A. marila*)
- Golden plover (*P. apricaria*)
- Knot (*C. canutus*)
- Dunlin (*C. alpina*)
- Black-tailed godwit (*L. limosa*)

<sup>10</sup> <http://datazone.birdlife.org/site/factsheet/shannon-and-fergus-estuaries-iba-ireland/details>

<sup>11</sup> Light-bellied brent goose, a species for which the SPA site (004077) is selected, is a sub species of brent goose

- Bar-tailed godwit (*L. lapponica*)
- Curlew (*N. arquata*)
- Redshank (*T. totanus*)

A further 13 species occur in numbers of national importance, including, *inter alia*,

- Greylag goose (*Anser anser*)
- Shelduck (*T. tadorna*)
- Wigeon (*A. penelope*)
- Teal (*A. crecca*)
- Pintail (*A. acuta*)
- Shoveler (*A. clypeata*)
- Lapwing (*V. vanellus*)
- Greenshank (*T. nebularia*)<sup>12</sup>

Of these species only greylag goose is not an SCI species for which the River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries SPA (004077) is selected.

The Stacks to Mullaghareirk Mountains, West Limerick Hills and Mount Eagle (IBA Criteria C6 (2009)) is encompassed within the The Stacks to Mullaghareirk Mountains, West Limerick Hills and Mount Eagle SPA (004161), both sites are important for breeding hen harrier (*Circus cyaneus*)<sup>13</sup>.

#### 8.3.2.2 Ramsar Sites

The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat is an international treaty for the conservation and sustainable use of wetlands. The Ramsar Convention was ratified by Ireland in 1984 and came into force for Ireland on 15 March 1985. Ireland presently has 45 sites designated as Wetlands of International Importance, with a surface area of 66,994 hectares.

No Ramsar site is located within 15km of the survey area.

### 8.4 SPECIES KNOWN FROM THE AREA

On the basis of extensive formal and informal in house expertise the following species are known to be present in the wider geographical area extending away from the survey area:

- Barn owl (*Tyto alba*)
- Kestrel (*F. tinnunculus*)
- Merlin (*Falco columbarius*)
- Mute swan (*Cygnus olor*)
- Sparrowhawk (*A. nisus*)
- Short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*)

<sup>12</sup> No further information on the other species is provided on the website.

<sup>13</sup><http://datazone.birdlife.org/site/factsheet/stacks-to-mullaghareirk-mountains-west-limerick-and-mount-eagle-iba-ireland/details>

While wintering swans and geese are present at coastal locations along the estuary there is little evidence that there are any established pathways, for the movements of swans or geese commuting to inland feeding sites that intersect with the survey area.

## 9 SELECTION OF SURVEY TYPES

As outlined, previously, in **Section 5** compliance with SNH (2017) requires that two main broad survey types are included in the survey design:

- Distribution and Abundance Surveys; and
- Vantage Point (VP) Surveys.

Within these broad types SNH (2017) lists a number of different methodologies and these are outlined hereunder. In each case a site specific assessment is carried out and recommendations are made as to which of the survey types should be carried out.

### 9.1 DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE SURVEYS

#### 9.1.1 Moorland Breeding Birds

This survey type is restricted to the breeding period between April and early July SNH (2017) and was not, therefore, required.

#### 9.1.2 Raptors and Owls

Of the four species of owl known in Ireland, namely barn owl (*Tyto alba*), snowy owl (*Nyctea scandiaca*), long-eared owl (*Asio otus*) and short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*) only barn owl and long-eared owl are purely nocturnal. Surveys for nocturnal species are assessed in **Section 9.1.6**, below.

With regard to snowy owl (*Nyctea scandiaca*) it is noted that because this species is a rare winter visitor, mainly to western counties such as Mayo<sup>14</sup>, it is not expected to be present. With regard to short-eared owl, should it be present in the survey area it is expected that this species and other raptors would be detected by the vantage point surveys described in **Section 11**, below.

#### 9.1.3 Breeding Divers

This survey type was not required. Only one species from this group is known to breed in Ireland, namely red-throated diver (*Gavia stellata*). Very few pairs do breed in Ireland and those that have bred have been restricted to Co. Donegal<sup>15</sup>.

With regard to the likelihood that the other species from this group will frequent the site outside of the breeding season, the populations of these species are associated with shallow sandy bays and feed on open water plunging to catch fish or other food. Due to the specialised nature of their feeding techniques they are not expected to present at the site due to its terrestrial location and habitat mix.

<sup>14</sup> <https://www.birdwatchireland.ie/IrelandsBirds/Owls/SnowyOwl/tabid/1125/Default.aspx>

<sup>15</sup> <https://www.birdwatchireland.ie/Default.aspx?tabid=125>

#### 9.1.4 Red Grouse (*Lagopus lagopus hibernicus*) Survey

Having regard for the habitats available within the survey area and the low elevation of the site it is concluded, in light of extensive in house expertise<sup>16</sup>, that a red grouse survey was not required.

#### 9.1.5 Woodland Passerines

The site boundary does overlap with a number of commercial conifer plantations. In light of this and bearing in mind that surveys of woodland passerines, especially in commercial conifer forest, are generally not required (SNH, 2017) and because there is very little evidence that passerines are significantly affected by wind farms (DGE, 2014) it was concluded that this survey type was not required. In addition, because the vantage points (see **Section 11**, below) are located adjacent to locations that are good examples of the typical, albeit limited, variation in habitats present within the survey area, it was expected that the typical species associated with these habitats and the broader more typical habitats would be detected during the vantage point surveys.

#### 9.1.6 Nocturnal Species

##### 9.1.6.1 Owls

Of the species of owl resident in Ireland only barn owl and long-eared owl are purely nocturnal. As a result any flights would not be observable and systematic flight path mapping would not be possible, therefore, neither was selected as Target Species. However, extensive in-house experience of the species mix present in the wider geographical area indicates that the survey area could be within the foraging territory of barn owl and, although equivalent knowledge on the presence of long-eared owl is not available, it is considered, on the basis of the precautionary principle, that surveys for both species should be undertaken.

The surveys were conducted, as per SNH (2017) and BirdWatch Ireland<sup>17</sup>, by listening for calling birds around dusk from February onwards during VP surveys. SNH (2017) further recommends that late evening surveys for calling juveniles in May-July can also be useful in detecting successful pairs; adults may also be active during this time. Should calling birds be detected, in the event that specific breeding sites are identified, surveys can be complemented by searches for signs of occupation, such as moulted feathers and pellets. If present, these evidences of occupancy in the environs of the site can be recorded. Given that this, latter, survey type should be conducted in the period May-July it will occur outside the survey period that is the subject matter of this report. This element of the surveys will, therefore, be discussed in the report on the summer 2019 surveys.

##### 9.1.6.2 Other nocturnal species

Nightjar (*Caprimulgus europaeus*): as this species is a rare summer-visitor to uplands in southern Ireland<sup>18</sup> it was not expected to be present during the survey period. Surveys were not required.

#### 9.1.7 Lowland and Farmland Birds

Surveys of farmland, moorland or woodland passerines are generally not required (SNH, 2017) and there is very little evidence that passerines are significantly affected by wind farms (DGE, 2014). However, in order to fully characterise the use of the survey area by birds, all species encountered

---

<sup>16</sup> 1) Staff ecologists have been issued 'Section 32: Licence for use of tape lure for red grouse survey' for each of the last 10 years. 2) Extensive local knowledge and previous surveys in the area.

<sup>17</sup> <https://www.birdwatchireland.ie/IrelandsBirds/Owls/LongearedOwl/tabid/1123/Default.aspx>

<sup>18</sup> <https://www.birdwatchireland.ie/IrelandsBirds/Nightjar/tabid/1151/Default.aspx>

were recorded; however, recording of these species was subsidiary to recording of Target Species and comprised recording of simple counts of species observed only. Because the VPs (see **Section 11**, below) are located adjacent to locations that are good examples of the typical, albeit limited, variation in habitats present within the survey area, it was expected that the typical species associated with these habitats and the broader more typical habitats would be detected during the vantage point surveys.

### 9.1.8 Wintering and Migratory Waterfowl, especially Geese and Swans

The survey area lies within the core foraging distance<sup>19</sup> of an SPA designated for species from these groups. Because disturbance or displacement to wintering swans can occur on feeding areas, feeding distribution surveys as per SNH (2017) were considered for inclusion in the survey design. However, while SNH (2017) does stipulate that feeding distribution surveys for whooper swan should be undertaken when the survey area lies within the core foraging distance of SPAs designated for this species, the guidance document also advises that these surveys are not required if it can be established, from existing data, that the area is not utilised for feeding.

As can be seen from **Table 2**, below, and on review of the site description in **Section 8.1**, above, the preferred inland foraging habitat types for the species of swans and geese identified in **Section 8**, above, are not available within the survey area. In addition, and as outlined previously, while swans and geese are known from coastal locations along the Shannon estuary there is little evidence that there are any established pathways, for the movements of swans or geese commuting to inland feeding sites that intersect with the survey area. In light of the evidence presented in the preceding sentences it was concluded that dedicated feeding and distribution surveys as per SNH (2017) were not warranted - particularly in light of the fact that most of the survey area comprises open bog with conifer plantations adjacent and is therefore not suitable foraging habitat.

Hinterland driving surveys were done by surveyors to determine any important sights for water birds in the general area.

**Table 2: Feeding habits and preferred foraging habitat type**

Species	Diet & Preferentially selected foraging habitat type
Whooper swan ( <i>C. cygnus</i> )	Aquatic vegetation, but they are increasingly being recorded grazing on grass in pasture and spilt grain, as well as potatoes from cultivated land. Most on lowland open farmland around inland wetlands, regularly seen while feeding on grasslands and stubble.
Mute swan ( <i>Cygnus olor</i> )	Water plants, which these large birds can reach with their long necks at depths of up to one metre. Also graze on land and occasionally feed on small amphibians, snails and insects.
Light-bellied brent goose ( <i>B. bernicla hrota</i> )	During the winter, feeds mostly on eel-grass, which grows on muddy estuaries, and also on grasslands, usually when coastal supplies have been depleted at estuarine sites
Greylag goose ( <i>A. anser</i> )	Currently feed mostly on cereal stubble and grassland in their wintering areas

In any event, feeding distribution surveys can be undertaken by observations from vantage points (SNH, 2017). Therefore, because there is some, albeit limited, potential that these species may overfly the site any movements by these species would be captured by the survey design. With regard to the efficacy of the VP surveys as a means to record data on activity by swans or geese, the

<sup>19</sup> In winter < 5km (SNH, 2016)



flat topography of the site and the uninterrupted fields of view ensure that full coverage of the site's habitats, which are of some, albeit very limited, potential value to these groups, was afforded by the VPs. This enabled an assessment as to whether or not, and to what extent, established commuting, passage and/or migratory routes intersect with the site. In addition, potential foraging grounds that had been identified during the site reconnaissance surveys were resurveyed while the surveyors were en-route to and from the site before and after VP sessions.

## 10 SELECTION OF TARGET SPECIES

Target Species, for which comprehensive data were recorded, were limited to those species likely to be affected by wind farms. The habitat mix within and adjacent to the proposed development site, described in **Section 8.1**, allowed a preliminary assessment to be made, in 2018, prior to commencement of surveys at the site, of the bird populations likely to be present in the study area. This assessment was cognisant of the known habitat preferences of the species evaluated and the restrictions on their distributions that result from these preferences. This assessment when viewed in combination with the information on the proximity of relevant designated sites, outlined in **Section 8.3**, and those species known to be present in the wider area, identified in **Section 8.4**, allowed the selection of primary and, potentially, Secondary Target Species as per SNH (2017). In selecting species for inclusion in the Target Species lists a precautionary approach was adopted and the selection also followed the guidance set out for determining the sensitivity and importance of bird species as outlined in Percival (2003), Whitfield & Madders (2006) and Drewitt & Langston (2006). This evaluation is summarised in **Table 3**.

Because there is very little evidence that passerines are significantly affected by wind farms (DGE, 2014; SNH, 2017) and unless rare/restricted passerines are present surveys are not required (SNH, 2017) transects or point counts such as those outlined in Anon (2012) or Bibby *et al.* (2000) were not carried out. However, in order to fully characterise the species mix present in the survey area all species encountered, including passerines, were recorded. However, recording of these species is subsidiary to recording of Target Species and will comprise recording of simple counts of species observed. This element of the survey design is to provide the additional data on bird usage of the site that will be required for subsequent assessments of the impacts on the broad avian biodiversity of the survey area in the event that an application for planning permission is submitted. An example of the survey sheet is included in **Appendix 2**.

Those species selected as Primary Target Species are listed in **Section 10.1** and those selected as Secondary Target Species are listed in **Section 10.2**. The evaluation is summarised in **Table 3**.

### 10.1 PRIMARY TARGET SPECIES

The Primary Target Species are:

- Hen harrier (*C. cyaneus*)
- Merlin (*F. columbarius*)
- Kestrel (*F. tinnunculus*)
- Sparrowhawk (*A. nisus*)
- Short-eared owl (*A. flammeus*)
- Whooper swan (*C. cygnus*)

- Mute swan (*C. olor*)
- Light-bellied brent goose (*B. bernicla hrota*)
- Greylag goose (*A. anser*)
- Golden plover (*P. apricaria*)
- Lapwing (*V. vanellus*)
- Curlew (*N. arquata*)
- Black-headed gull (*C. ridibundus*)

## 10.2 SECONDARY TARGET SPECIES

The Secondary Target Species are:

- Cormorant (*P. carbo*)
- Shelduck (*T. tadorna*)
- Wigeon (*A. penelope*)
- Teal (*A. crecca*)
- Pintail (*A. acuta*)
- Shoveler (*A. clypeata*)
- Scaup (*A. marila*)
- Ringed plover (*C. hiaticula*)
- Grey plover (*P. squatarola*)
- Knot (*C. canutus*)
- Dunlin (*C. alpina*)
- Black-tailed godwit (*L. limosa*)
- Bar-tailed godwit (*L. lapponica*)
- Redshank (*T. totanus*)
- Greenshank (*T. nebularia*)
- Snipe (*G. gallinago*)

While not included as Target Species, surveys for the nocturnal barn owl and long-eared owl were conducted as outlined in **Section 9.1.6.1**, above. In the event that either species was observed in daylight then any flight paths observed would be recorded as per **Section 11.1**, below.

## 10.3 CHANGES TO THE TARGET SPECIES LISTS

As no previous surveys have been conducted at the site the data taken will inform the surveys as they are conducted and the Target Species list may be subject to change in the event that additional species that match the criteria outlined below are observed. The survey programme should retain flexibility to adapt to situations where one or more species (especially ones not typically chosen as a target or secondary species) unexpectedly present an issue (e.g. particularly high presence on the site).

**Table 3: Target Species Ratings and Rationale for the Ratings Assigned**

Raptors & Owls	Target Species Rating	Rationale
Hen harrier ( <i>C. cyaneus</i> )	Primary	Amber listed. EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Potential foraging and breeding habitat in survey area. Populations are vulnerable to habitat modifications that result from land use change (Wilson <i>et al.</i> , 2015). Raptors are among the species known to be most vulnerable to collision mortality at wind farms (Thaxter <i>et al.</i> , 2017). The construction and operation of wind turbines can impact on hen harriers (displacement during construction and/or operation; collision with turbines). Known presence in wider geographical area year round <sup>20</sup> .
Merlin ( <i>F. columbarius</i> )	Primary	Amber listed. EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Potential foraging habitat in survey area but unlikely to breed in survey area or in area extending away from survey area. Raptors are among the species known to be most vulnerable to collision mortality at wind farms (Thaxter <i>et al.</i> , 2017). Known presence in wider geographical area during winter <sup>20</sup> .
Kestrel ( <i>F. tinnunculus</i> )	Primary	Amber listed. Potential foraging habitat in survey area. Potential breeding habitat in area extending away from survey area. Raptors are among the species known to be most vulnerable to collision mortality at wind farms (Thaxter <i>et al.</i> , 2017). Known presence in wider geographical area year round <sup>20</sup> .

<sup>20</sup> Known presence based on MWP in-house knowledge and experience.

Sparrowhawk ( <i>A. nisus</i> )	Primary	Amber listed. EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Potential foraging habitat in survey area. Potential breeding habitat in area extending away from survey area. Raptors are among the species known to be most vulnerable to collision mortality at wind farms (Thaxter <i>et al.</i> , 2017). Known presence in wider geographical area year round <sup>20</sup> .
Barn owl ( <i>T. alba</i> )	Not selected	Nocturnal species therefore flight lines not visible. While raptors are among the species known to be most vulnerable to collision mortality at wind farms (Thaxter <i>et al.</i> , 2017), barn owls are rarely affected by wind turbines <sup>21</sup> .
Long-eared owl ( <i>A. otus</i> )	Not selected	Nocturnal species therefore flight lines not visible. Potential foraging habitat in survey area. Potential breeding habitat in area extending away from survey area.
Short-eared owl ( <i>A. flammeus</i> )	Primary	Feeds mainly on small mammals in open habitats. Potential foraging habitat in survey area. Potential breeding habitat in area extending away from survey area. Known presence in wider geographical area <sup>20</sup> .
Swans and Geese	Target Species Rating	Rationale
Whooper swan ( <i>C. cygnus</i> )	Primary	EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Nationally important population. Proximity of SPA selected for protection of this species. Grassland areas adjacent to the estuary are used by grazing Whooper swans (Robinson <i>et al.</i> , 2004). The species is known to forage on grassland sites (Worden <i>et al.</i> , 2009) during the day. Possibility that the species overflies or transects through the survey area when commuting to foraging grounds further inland. Known poor flight manoeuvrability.

<sup>21</sup> <https://www.barnowltrust.org.uk/hazards-solutions/barn-owls-wind-turbines/>

Mute swan ( <i>C. olor</i> )	Primary	Known presence in wider geographical area <sup>20</sup> . Possibility, albeit slight, that the species' flight lines intersect through the survey area when commuting between foraging grounds. Precautionary principle. Known poor flight manoeuvrability.
Light-bellied brent goose ( <i>B. bernicla hrota</i> )	Primary	EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Internationally important population <sup>22</sup> . Proximity of SPA selected for protection of this species. Possibility, albeit slight, that the species' flight lines intersect through the survey area. Known poor flight manoeuvrability.
Greylag goose ( <i>A. anser</i> )	Primary	Proximity of IBA selected for protection of this species. Possibility, albeit slight, that the species' flight lines intersect with the survey area. Known poor flight manoeuvrability. Precautionary principle.
<b>Cormorants</b>	<b>Target Species Rating</b>	<b>Rationale</b>
Cormorant ( <i>P. carbo</i> )	Secondary	EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Nationally important migratory population. Nationally important resident breeding population. Proximity of SPA selected for protection of this species. Possibility that the species' flight lines intersect with the survey area.
<b>Ducks</b>	<b>Target Species Rating</b>	<b>Rationale</b>
<b>Amber listed:</b> Shelduck ( <i>T. tadorna</i> ) Scaup ( <i>A. marila</i> ) Teal ( <i>A. crecca</i> )	Secondary	Notwithstanding the proximity of SPA selected for protection of these species and the national importance of the populations for which the SPA is selected, all are exclusively associated with open water habitats not present within the survey area or in the area extending away from it. Very limited likelihood that the species' flight lines intersect with the survey area.

<sup>22</sup> <https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY004077.pdf>

<b>Red listed:</b> Pintail ( <i>A. acuta</i> ) Shoveler ( <i>A. clypeata</i> ) Wigeon ( <i>A. penelope</i> )		
Waders	Target Species Rating	Rationale
Golden plover ( <i>P. apricaria</i> )	Primary	Red listed. EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Nationally important population. Proximity of SPA selected for protection of species. Possibility that the species overflies or transects through the survey area. Potential foraging habitat in survey area but unlikely to breed in survey area or in area extending away from survey area. Known presence in wider geographical area in winter <sup>20</sup> .
Curlew ( <i>N. arquata</i> )	Primary	Red listed; EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Nationally important population. Proximity of SPA selected for protection of species. Possibility that the species overflies or transects through the survey area. Potential foraging habitat in area extending away from survey area survey area but unlikely to breed in survey area or in area extending away from survey area. Known presence in wider geographical area <sup>20</sup> .

Lapwing ( <i>V. vanellus</i> )	Primary	<p>Red listed.</p> <p>EU Bird Directive Annex I species.</p> <p>Nationally important population.</p> <p>Proximity of SPA selected for protection of species.</p> <p>Possibility that the species overflies or transects through the survey area to foraging grounds where the variety of soil and surface-living invertebrates this species predated are available.</p> <p>Potential foraging habitat in area extending away from survey area but unlikely to breed in survey area or in area extending away from survey area.</p>
<p><b>Green listed:</b></p> <p>Ringed plover (<i>C. hiaticula</i>)</p> <p>Greenshank (<i>T. nebularia</i>)</p> <p><b>Amber listed:</b></p> <p>Grey plover (<i>P. squatarola</i>)</p> <p>Knot (<i>C. canutus</i>)</p> <p>Black-tailed godwit (<i>L. limosa</i>)</p> <p>Bar-tailed godwit (<i>L. lapponica</i>)</p> <p><b>Red listed:</b></p> <p>Dunlin (<i>C. alpina</i>)</p> <p>Redshank (<i>T. totanus</i>)</p>	Secondary	<p>Notwithstanding the proximity of SPA selected for protection of these species and the international and national importance of the populations for which the SPA is selected, all are essentially obligate feeders on marine and estuarine benthic invertebrates. Very limited likelihood that the species' flight lines intersect with the survey area.</p>
Gulls	Target Species Rating	Rationale

Black-headed gull ( <i>C. ridibundus</i> )	Primary	Red listed. EU Bird Directive Annex I species. Proximity of SPA selected for protection of species. Nationally important population. Possibility that the species overflies or transects through the survey area to alternative foraging grounds inland from the estuary.
--	---------	---



## 11 VANTAGE POINT (VP) SURVEYS

VP surveys are designed to quantify the level of flight activity and its distribution over a survey area (SNH, 2017). The survey type comprises a series of watches from fixed locations that are repeated on a scheduled basis that are focused on recording flight behaviours that intersect with the turbine rotor envelope. The aim of the survey design is to set out a standard methodology for recording both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of these behaviours in order to produce sufficient information to assess the potential effects of the development on Target Species particularly with regard to collision risk. It also allows a determination to be made as to whether regular flight lines for any species intersect with the survey area.


Vantage Point surveys allow the collection of accurate data on Target Species that will enable estimates to be made of:

- The time spent flying over the survey area;
- The relative use of different parts of the survey area; and
- The proportion of flying time spent within the upper and lower height limits as determined by the rotor diameter and the hub height.

On the basis of extensive local knowledge and experience of the distribution of hen harrier in the north Kerry area and due to the proximity of an SPA designated for the protection of this species, VP surveys were required (SNH, 2017). To this end surveys from three VP locations were conducted during the survey period. The VPs, shown in **Figure 5** were selected to ensure that the fields of view covered all of the flight activity within the survey area (500m buffer) and are located such that no point within the survey area is greater than 2 km from a VP. When selecting the VP locations the visibility of the rotor swept area is critical; visibility at ground level is not. However, due to the almost uninterrupted fields of view afforded by the relatively flat topography of the site visibility to ground level is possible over much of the site. As per SNH (2017) 36 hours per VP were completed during the survey period.

Because bird species have varied seasonal, and within day, activity patterns the timing of survey sessions were adjusted to occur at times when birds are likely to be most active. Because bird flight behaviours change in response to wind conditions, particularly with regard to flight heights, weather will also be a factor in the scheduling of surveys.

The VP methodology outlined in **Section 11.1** also followed the NPWS Recommended Methodology for Assessment of Impacts of Proposed Windfarms included in **Appendix 1**. While the primary focus of the VP surveys were the Target Species listed in **Section 10** all species encountered were recorded on a presence/absence basis on separate field sheets (see **Appendix 2**).



**Figure 5: VP Locations**

**11.1 VANTAGE POINT (VP) METHODOLOGY**

The methodology is of particular use in providing details of the number of species and the extent to which birds use the site. It also provides supplementary information on flight activity and behaviour. The longer the overall survey period of VP surveys, the more accurate and precise the sample of flight behaviour.

The VPs are located at positions that provided clear views of turbine hub heights and blade swept area over the survey area. The surveyors based themselves at each VP for a fixed period of 6 hours each month of the survey period. VP sessions were conducted as a series of watches each of not more than 3 hours continuous duration at a time. There were breaks of at least 30 minutes between watches to minimise observer fatigue and a short ‘settling in’ period of approximately 10 minutes at each VP, before watches started, to allow the surveyor to organise and annotate field sheets, mapping, etc. and to ensure any disturbance from moving around the site had passed. During winter months the variation in the length of daylight influenced the timing of the surveys.

VP watches were conducted under conditions of good ground visibility (>2km) on days when the cloud base was high enough to allow observation of the full survey area and observations were to be suspended during periods of poor visibility and/or heavy rain. In order to ensure that any activity by soaring birds was sampled, surveys were undertaken in a range of wind conditions and on showery days providing showers were not too heavy or prolonged. For each sighting of a Primary Target Species in flight the following was recorded:

- The time that the bird was located and the duration of the observation;
- Sex and age of the bird(s), if possible;

- Behaviour observed such as foraging, commuting or displaying;
- Estimation of flight height;
- Habitats used during flight observation period; and
- Weather conditions at time of sighting.

From the point when an individual was detected it was followed until it ceased flying or was lost from view. The time of initial detection and the flight duration was recorded and the flight path followed was plotted, in the field, onto OSI 1:50 000 mapping. The bird's flight height was estimated at the time of detection and then at evenly spaced intervals thereafter. In order to avoid observer error narrow height bands were not used and flight heights were classified into height bands that can be used in post survey analysis to characterise and describe the flights.

Observations of Target Species took priority over completion of activity summaries. The survey sheet (See **Appendix 2**) is designed to facilitate data entry and allows for the addition of brief notes summarising the flight behaviours. These can subsequently be used to provide qualitative descriptions of the behaviour. Entry of this information was facilitated by use of the codes outlined in **Sections 11.1.1** and **11.1.2**.

Static birds, such as those that are perched were to be recorded on the sheets and the location marked on a map. For clarity, and for ease of post survey analysis, individual flight paths were recorded on separate maps and observation sheets.

#### **11.1.1 Behaviour Codes<sup>23</sup>**

The following codes were used in the survey sheets to indicate the behaviours observed for each sighting:

- (H) Hunting
- (F) Flying
- (S) Soaring
- (C) Circling
- (P) Perched
- (G) On Ground
- (M) Mobbing
- (D) Display
- (FP) Male
- (O) Other

#### **11.1.2 Habitat Codes<sup>23</sup>**

The following codes were used in the survey sheets to indicate the habitats transected by each flight path:

- IG - Improved grazing
- S - Scrub
- B - Bog
- RG - Rough grazing

---

<sup>23</sup> Derived from Irish Hen Harrier Survey 2015 Survey & recording guidelines for contributors

- G - Grass moorland
- 1F - First rotation forest
- 2F - Second rotation forest
- T - Thicket (or pole) stage forest
- CF - Clear fell
- H - Heather moorland
- O - Other (please specify)

## 12 RESULTS: TARGET SPECIES ACTIVITY

Five Primary Target Species and two Secondary Target Species were recorded during the survey period. These are, as follows:

- Primary Target Species:
  - Hen harrier (*C. cyaneus*)
  - Kestrel (*F. tinnunculus*)
  - Sparrowhawk (*A. nisus*)
  - Whooper swan (*C. cygnus*)
  - Curlew (*N. arquata*)
- Secondary Target Species
  - Cormorant (*P. carbo*)
  - Snipe (*G. gallinago*)

In addition, one non target species namely, mallard (*A. platyrhynchos*) was also recorded.

### 12.1 PRIMARY TARGET SPECIES


#### 12.1.1 Hen harrier Observations

Four observations of this species were recorded and these occurred in November, December, January and February. One of these was of an adult male, two were of adult females and the remaining bird was categorised as ringtail due to the brevity of the sighting and the distance intervening between the observer and the bird, which made it difficult to see the plumage sufficiently clearly to ascertain the age of the bird. The male hen harrier was observed outside the site boundary (see **Figure 1**, above) and the others were recorded inside the site boundary. Two of the flight paths were observed from VP2 and one was made from both VP1 and VP3. An additional, ad hoc, record of a female in flight near VP3 was made by a local person familiar with the site. Most of the observations were of birds flying over bog; other habitats over flown included scrub, 1<sup>st</sup> rotation forestry and heather moorland. These flight paths are illustrated in **Figure 6 Map 1 Ref C**. This drawing is also included in A4 format in **Appendix 5**. Individual flight paths are numbered and can be identified by cross reference to the Flight Path numbers found in Column 1, **Table 7**, below.

The total time of observations is shown in **Table 4**, below and the characteristics of the flights recorded are summarised in **Table 7**, below. Descriptions of the behaviors recorded are included in **Section 12.1.1.1 to Section 12.1.1.5** inclusive, below. A discussion of the survey results is included in **Section 13**, below.

**Table 4: Total Observation Time**

VP	Time in seconds
1	20
2	36
3	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>61</b>



**Figure 6: Hen harrier flight paths**

**12.1.1.1 VP2 (November 10<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 1**

An adult female was observed at 12:51 inside the site boundary adjacent to the east of the VP. Initially the bird was seen flying at ground level (<1 m) but it rose rapidly to a height of c. 8 m to fly southwards along the tree line directly south-east of the VP.

**12.1.1.2 VP2 (December 20<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 2**

At 09:25 a ringtail was observed flying south east of VP2 inside the site boundary. It was first seen flying over scrub as the surveyor arrived at the VP but did not appear to be hunting. It then flew over the adjacent bog tracking the conifer edge flying in a southwesterly direction at heights varying between 5m and 15 m.

**12.1.1.3 Ad hoc anecdotal information (January 16<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 3**

A local woman who frequents the site informed the surveyor that she had seen a female hen harrier carrying prey inside the site boundary. It went to the ground close to the track and seemed unbothered by the proximity to the dogs she was walking. It fed on the killed prey on the ground and

flew off in a southerly direction from VP3. While this information is anecdotal it has been included here as, from repeated encounters with this individual, it was clear that her familiarity with the sight is of long standing.

#### 12.1.1.4 VP3 (February 6<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 4

At 10:30 an adult female was observed as she flew briefly in front of the surveyor at the VP inside the site boundary overflying bog at a height of <20 m. It was then lost to sight behind higher vegetation to the south east of the VP.

#### 12.1.1.5 VP1 (February 27<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 5


At 09:35 an adult male was observed, in the distance to the north west of VP1 outside the site boundary, hunting low (<20m) over heather moorland. The surveyor considered that it was likely to have continued hunting as it flew towards the north-west close to the commercial forestry.

### 12.1.2 Kestrel Observations

In total there were eight observations of kestrels inside the site boundary during November, January and February. Four observations occurred at VP3, three occurred at VP1 and one at VP2. The kestrels were observed flying and hunting at various heights ranging from 0-100 m. While the primary habitat over flown was bog, individuals were also recorded over flying scrub, heather moorland, 1<sup>st</sup> rotation forestry and a bog track. These flight paths are illustrated in **Figure 7 Map 1 Ref C**. This drawing is also included in A4 format in **Appendix 5**. Individual flight paths are numbered and can be identified by cross reference to the Flight Path numbers found in Column 1, **Table 8**. The total time of observations is shown in **Table 5**, below. The flight characteristics are summarised in **Table 8**, below and the observations are described in **Section 12.1.2.1** to **Section 12.1.2.7**, inclusive, below. A discussion of the survey results is included in **Section 13**, below.

**Table 5 : Total Observation Time**

VP	Time in seconds
1	188
2	142
3	748
Total	1,078



**Figure 7: Kestrel flight paths**

#### 12.1.2.1 VP3 (November 7<sup>th</sup>) Flight Paths 1 & 2

At 14:18 an adult was observed as it flew over bog inside the site boundary. This kestrel was observed hunting, soaring and circling at various heights (0m-100m) to the south west of the VP and it then flew off in a south easterly direction.

At 15:05 an adult was observed south of the VP flying circa 1m-2m over the bog in a north westerly direction. This observation occurred inside the site boundary.

#### 12.1.2.2 VP3 (November 16<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 3

At 12:10 a kestrel was observed hunting due west of the VP inside the site boundary over bog, heading south at heights between 15 m to 30 m. The same bird was then seen hunting at 12:30 heading back north then north east heights between 30m to 40m.

#### 12.1.2.3 VP3 (November 16<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 4

At 13:09 a kestrel was observed hunting in the distance over scrub, bog and 1<sup>st</sup> rotation forestry at heights between 70m to 90m. It was then harried by a hooded crow. This kestrel flew south inside the site boundary and then north of the VP outside the site boundary.

#### 12.1.2.4 VP1 (November 29<sup>th</sup>) Flight path 5

At 15:50 a kestrel was observed flying, soaring and circling over bog and a bog track inside the site boundary. It flew in a north westerly direction, north west of VP2.

#### 12.1.2.5 VP2 (January 13<sup>h</sup>) Flight Path 6

At 15:53 an adult kestrel was observed hunting over bog at various heights between 0 m to 100 m. The bird was observed hunting over bog, it then went to ground at the end of the first flight path,

was observed and rose again. It flew off in a south easterly direction from the west of the VP inside the site boundary.

12.1.2.6 VP1 (February 9<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 7

At 11:22 an adult was observed flying and hunting over bog and scrub inside the site boundary. This individual was observed flying at various heights up to 50m to the north-west of the VP. It flew off in a northerly direction and was lost to sight when it went to ground.

12.1.2.7 VP1 (February 27<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 8

At 07:20 a kestrel was observed flying between 20m-50m over heather moorland inside the site boundary. It was first observed north of the VP and it flew in a south easterly direction eventually exiting the site.

12.1.3 Sparrowhawk Observations

There were three observations of sparrowhawk during the survey period all occurred inside the site boundary. Two adults and one juvenile were observed at VP2 and VP3 locations and the species was recorded in November and February only. Flight heights were within the 0m-20m range. The individuals recorded were observed perched, flying and hunting over bog habitat. The flight paths are illustrated in **Figure 8**. This drawing **Map 1 Ref C** is also included in A4 format in **Appendix 5**. Individual flight paths are numbered and can be identified by cross reference to the Flight Path numbers found in Column 1, **Table 9**.

The total time of observations is shown in **Table 6**. The flight characteristics are summarised in **Table 9** and the observations are described in **Section 12.1.3.1** to **Section 12.1.3.3**, inclusive. A discussion of the survey results is included in **Section 13**.




Figure 8: Sparrowhawk flight paths



**Table 6: Total Observation Time**

VP	Time in seconds
2	484
3	15
Total	503

**12.1.3.1 VP2 (November 10<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 1**

At 11:38 an adult sparrowhawk was observed perched on a tree branch and then it flew off over bog. This flight was less than 20m in height and was observed inside the site boundary. It was observed to the north west of VP2 and the sparrowhawk flew off in a north easterly direction.

**12.1.3.2 VP2 (November 16<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 2**


At 11:38 a juvenile sparrowhawk was observed flying and hunting over bog. It came down low over VP2 from the east at 3m-7m height and was observed inside the site boundary. It then perched on a tree beside the road ahead of the VP2. It perched here for circa 5 minutes and then took off in a south westerly direction at 1-5m height.

**12.1.3.3 VP3 (February 27<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 3**

At 13:39 an adult sparrowhawk was observed inside the site boundary. It flew out from the bog, then lazily along the road at a height of c.1m. It flew in a southerly direction south of VP3 before it was lost out of sight.

**12.1.4 Whooper swan Observations**

Six observations of whooper swan flocks were recorded during the survey period. The observations were ancillary to the VP surveys, did not result in any reduction in the required durations of those surveys and occurred at a location outside the proposed wind farm site in the hinterland. Specifically, these observations occurred at a location of improved grassland the flocks were using as foraging ground. The numbers varied between 11 and 15 individuals, the birds observed were adults and flocks were recorded in February and March. On the first occasion the surveyor observed the flock for 20 minutes; on subsequent occasions the observation time was extended to 30 minutes. As the flocks moved around while foraging, the location is shown as a shaded area in **Figure 9**. This drawing **Map 1 Ref C** is also included in A4 format in **Appendix 5**. A discussion of the survey results is included in **Section 13**, below.



**Figure 9: Location of whooper swan foraging ground**

#### 12.1.4.1 (February 9<sup>th</sup>)

At 17:00 a flock of 14 birds was observed feeding in agricultural grassland outside the site boundary to the north west of the proposed development site. The birds were in-situ on arrival and were still present when the surveyor left 20 minutes later.

#### 12.1.4.2 (February 16<sup>th</sup>)

09:00 a flock of 15 was observed on arrival to the site and were feeding in agricultural grassland outside the site boundary to the north west of throughout the 30 minute visit.

#### 12.1.4.3 (February 17<sup>th</sup>)

At 14:30 a flock of 15 was observed foraging in agricultural grassland outside the site boundary to the north west for 30 minutes. At 10:00 on this date the surveyor had visited this site and no whooper swans were present.

#### 12.1.4.4 (March 9<sup>th</sup>)

At 11:15 a flock of 11 was observed for 30 minutes foraging in the same improved grassland outside the site boundary to the north west.

#### 12.1.4.5 (March 17<sup>th</sup>)

At 11:30 a flock of 12 was observed foraging in the same area of improved grassland outside the site boundary to the north west. They were observed for 30 minutes. The flock was still present when the surveyor returned at 15:45.

#### 12.1.4.6 (March 18<sup>th</sup>)

At 10:15 a flock of 13 was observed for 30 minutes foraging in the same improved grassland outside the site boundary to the north west. Some of the areas which they normally foraged were flooded

for the first time; ponding had formed due to 3-4 days of heavy rain in the area. However, this change evidently did not deter the birds and they readily made use of the abundantly available alternatives within the same field/s. Nine birds were still present when the surveyor passed by at 14:40.

#### **12.1.5 Curlew**

There was no sighting of curlew; however, an individual was heard calling from VP2 on November 11<sup>th</sup>.

**Table 7: Summary characteristics of hen harrier flights observed**

Flight Path No.	Drawing No.	Date	VP	Time of Observation	Gender/ age	Duration of observation (seconds)	Behaviour	Height Flown (m)	Habitat(s) over flown
<b>Winter 2018-2019</b>									
1	Map 1 Ref C	10/11/18	2	12:51	Female/ Adult	6	Flying	0-20m	Bog
2	Map 1 Ref C	20/12/19	2	09:25	Female /Ringtail	30	Flying	0-20m	Scrub, 1 <sup>st</sup> rotation forestry and bog
3	Map 1 Ref C	16/01/19	Ad hoc	n/a	Female/ Adult	n/a	Flying	0-20m	Bog
4	Map 1 Ref C	06/02/19	3	10:30	Female/ Adult	5	Flying	0-20m	Bog
5	Map 1 Ref C	27/02/19	1	09:35	Male/Adult	20	Hunting	0-20m	Heather moorland

**Table 8: Summary characteristics of kestrel flights observed**

Flight Path No.	Drawing No.	Date	VP	Time of Observation	Gender/age	Duration of observation (in seconds)	Behaviour	Height Flown (m)	Habitat(s) over flown
<b>Winter 2018 - 2019</b>									
1	Map 1 Ref C	07/11/18	3	14:18	Unknown /Adult	86	Hunting	20-50m	Bog
						30	Hunting, soaring and circling	50-100m	
						50	Hunting	20-50m	
						50	Hunting	0-20m	
2	Map 1 Ref C	07/11/18	3	15:05	Unknown /Adult	12	Flying	0-20m	Bog

Flight Path No.	Drawing No.	Date	VP	Time of Observation	Gender/age	Duration of observation (in seconds)	Behaviour	Height Flown (m)	Habitat(s) over flown
3	Map 1 Ref C	16/11/18	3	12:10	Unknown	60	Flying and hunting	0-20m	Bog
						180		20-50m	
						40		20-50m	
4	Map 1 Ref C	16/11/18	3	13:09	Unknown	240	Flying and hunting	50-100m	1 <sup>st</sup> rotation forestry, bog and scrub
5	Map 1 Ref C	29/11/18	1	15:50	Unknown	60	Flying, soaring and circling	0-20m	Bog and bog track
6	Map 1 Ref C	13/01/19	2	15:53	Unknown /Adult	60	Hunting	50-100m	Bog
						30		20-50m	
						40		50-100m	
						12		0-20m	
7	Map 1 Ref C	09/02/19	1	11:22	Unknown /Adult	14	Flying and hunting	0-20m	Bog and scrub
						32		20-50m	
						12		0-20m	
8	Map 1 Ref C	27/02/19	1	07:20	Unknown	70	Flying	20-50m	Heather moorland

**Table 9: Summary characteristics of sparrowhawk flights observed**

Flight Path No.	Drawing No.	Date	VP	Time of Observation	Gender/age	Duration of observation (in seconds)	Behaviour	Height Flown (m)	Habitat(s) over flown
Winter 2018 - 2019									
1	Map 1 Ref C	10/11/18	2	11:38	Unknown/ Adult	136 8	Perched Flying	0-20m	Bog
2	Map 1 Ref C	16/11/18	2	11:38	Unknown/ Juvenile	40 300	Hunting and flying Perched	0-20m	Bog
3	Map 1 Ref C	27/02/19	3	13:39	Unknown/ Adult	15	Flying	0-20m	Bog

## 12.2 SECONDARY TARGET SPECIES

### 12.2.1 Cormorant Observations

There were two observations of cormorants in flight during November and both occurred within the site boundary. They were observed flying over bog habitat in the south and west of the site.

The total time of observations is shown in **Table 10**. The flight characteristics are summarised in **Table 12** and the observations are described in **Section 12.2.1.1** and **Section 12.2.1.2**. The flight paths are illustrated in **Figure 10**. This drawing **Map 1 Ref C** is also included in A4 format in **Appendix 5**. Individual flight paths are numbered and can be identified by cross reference to the Flight Path numbers found in Column 1, **Table 12**, below. A discussion of the survey results is included in **Section 13**, below.




Figure 10: Cormorant flight paths

Table 10: Total Observation Time

VP Number	Time in seconds
VP2	40
VP3	50
Total	90

#### 12.2.1.1 VP2 (November 16<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 1

At 11:40 a cormorant was seen in the distance beyond a perched sparrowhawk. It was travelling south across the bog at circa 15 m and veered south west all within the site boundary.

12.2.1.2 VP3 (November 16<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 2

At 12:31 a cormorant was seen heading south to south east flying at 45m-50m over bog habitat mostly within the site boundary.

12.2.2 Snipe Observations

There were two sightings of snipe during this survey period; one in December and the other in February. The first was observed inside the site boundary and the second flew from outside the boundary to within the site. The flight paths observed were all on the eastern side of the site over bog and rough grassland.

The total time of observations is shown in **Table 11**, below. The flight paths are illustrated in **Figure 11**. This drawing **Map 1 Ref C** is also included in A4 format in **Appendix 5**. Individual flight paths are numbered and can be identified by cross reference to the Flight Path numbers found in Column 1, **Table 13**. The flight characteristics are summarised in **Table 13** and the observations are described in **Section 12.2.2.1** and **Section 12.2.2.2**.




Figure 11: Snipe flight paths

Table 11: Total Observation Time

VP Number	Total (seconds)
VP1	20
VP2	12
<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>



#### *12.2.2.1 VP3 (December 20<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 1.*

At 15:10 a snipe was flushed from just inside the hedgerows north of the VP. It then flew off at speed to west south west exhibiting the typical ground hugging flight behaviour of this species when flushed. This observation occurred inside the site boundary.

#### *12.2.2.2 VP1 (February 8<sup>th</sup>) Flight Path 2.*

At 10:43 a snipe was flushed adjacent to the track at the VP. It flew, at speed, in a north westerly direction over bog, again exhibiting the typical ground hugging flight behaviour of this species. This observation occurred inside the site boundary.

**Table 12: Summary characteristics of cormorant flights observed**

Flight Path No.	Drawing No.	Date	VP	Time of Observation	Gender/age	Duration of observation (in seconds)	Behaviour	Height Flown (m)	Habitat(s) over flown
Winter 2018 - 2019									
1	Map 1 Ref C	16/11/18	2	11:40	Unknown	40	Flying	0-20m	Bog
2	Map 1 Ref C	16/11/18	3	12:31	Unknown	50	Flying	20-50m	Bog

**Table 13: Summary characteristics of snipe flights observed**

Flight Path No.	Drawing No.	Date	VP	Time of Observation	Gender/age	Duration of observation (seconds)	Behaviour	Height Flown (m)	Habitat(s) over flown
Winter 2018-2019									
1	Map 1 Ref C	20/12/18	3	15:10	Adult	20	Flying	0-20m	Bog and Rough Grassland
2	Map 1 Ref C	08/02/19	1	10:45	Adult	12	Flying	0- 20m	Bog

### 12.3 OTHER SPECIES OBSERVED

#### 12.3.1 Mallard Observations

There was one observation of mallard during this survey period in March. This flight path was observed inside the site boundary.

The total time of observations is shown in **Table 14**, below. The flight paths are illustrated in **Figure 12**. This drawing **Map 1 Ref C** is also included in A4 format in **Appendix 5**. Individual flight paths are numbered and can be identified by cross reference to the Flight Path numbers found in Column 1, **Table 15**. The flight characteristics are summarised in **Table 15** and the observations are described in **Section 12.3.1.1..**




Figure 12: Mallard flight paths

Table 14: Total Observation Time

VP Number	Total (seconds)
VP2	10
Total	10

##### 12.3.1.1 VP2 (March 3<sup>rd</sup>) Flight Path 1

At 12:25 a male and female mallard pair were observed south of VP2. They flew in a north westerly direction over bog at 20m-50m height. These birds were in view for 10seconds.

**Table 15: Summary characteristics of mallard flights observed**

Flight Path No.	Drawing No.	Date	VP	Time of Observation	Gender/ age	Duration of observation (seconds)	Behaviour	Height Flown (m)	Habitat(s) over flown
<b>Winter 2018-2019</b>									
1	Map 1 Ref C	18/03/19	2	12:25	Male & Female/ Adult	10	Flying	20-50m	Bog

### 13 DISCUSSION

Only five of the 13 Primary Target Species<sup>24</sup> and two of the 15 Secondary Target Species were recorded during the survey period and the numbers of observations of individual Target Species, and the activity of bird species generally, was extremely low.

These species are, as follows:

- Primary Target Species:
  - Hen harrier
  - Kestrel
  - Sparrowhawk
  - Whooper swan
  - Curlew
  
- Secondary Target Species
  - Cormorant
  - Snipe

In addition, non target species namely, mallard (*A. platyrhynchos*) was also recorded.

Hen harrier was recorded on four occasions each of which comprised a brief observation only and none of which extended beyond 30 seconds. While lengthier observations of this species can, and do, occur the characteristic speed and agility of this rapidly flying, powerful, stealth predator are such that brief glimpses of individuals, hugging the ground as they hunt, are typical and the hunting style used conceals individuals from prey and observer alike as the birds hide in the micro-topography and the low slung vegetation of their hunting grounds. Kestrel was recorded on eight occasions and, as would be expected of this species, because of its habit of hovering in place, for prolonged periods, while hunting, these observations were generally quite lengthy. The three sightings of sparrowhawk also reflected the behaviours of this agile hunter which will often perch on objects or at locations that offer an open view of the hunting grounds when seeking opportunities to hunt and individuals will even pursue prey on foot, along branches in trees and shrubs or on the ground, if the quarry seeks to use cover in attempting to elude it. The survey data indicates that, during the survey period, predators, either as a group or as individual species, were not active or present at the proposed wind farm site to any significant extent. These data would suggest that, during the survey period, the location, while within the foraging ranges of these species, was used sporadically rather than consistently.

While the observations of whooper swan did not occur during VP watches they are included in this report as they are of material significance to any description of bird activity in the area. Potential foraging grounds that had been identified during the site reconnaissance surveys were resurveyed while the surveyors were en-route to and from the site before and after VP sessions. A feeding flock was first observed at one of these locations, shown in **Figure 9**, on February 9<sup>th</sup> and this occurred on

---

<sup>24</sup> See **Section 10**

a further five occasions between that date and the end of the survey period on March 31<sup>st</sup>. The observations are also noteworthy because it demonstrates that, notwithstanding the proximity of this foraging site to the proposed wind farm, no evidence of whooper swans foraging within the proposed site or of swans transecting through the site was recorded during the survey period. As it is known that swans typically follow traditional flight paths, to and from roosting sites and foraging grounds and between foraging grounds, it is reasonable to infer, from the absence evidence that this, over wintering migratory, species commuted through the site during the survey period, that this species does not routinely commute through the proposed wind farm site during any winter.

The one occasion on which a curlew was heard calling (from VP2 on the November 11<sup>th</sup>) and the two observations each of snipe and cormorant in flight do not comprise sufficient data from which to draw any inferences or conclusions beyond the observation that these species were not recorded to any significant extent, at the proposed wind farm site, during the survey period.

## 14 REFERENCES

- Anon, (2012) *CBS Manual: Guidelines for Countryside Bird Survey participants*. CBS is a joint project of BirdWatch Ireland and the National Parks and Wildlife Service of the Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht
- Band, W, Madders, M, & Whitfield, D.P. (2007) Developing field and analytical methods to assess avian collision risk at wind farms. In: Janss, G, de Lucas, M & Ferrer, M (eds.) *Birds and Wind Farms*. Quercus, Madrid. 259-275
- Bibby, C.J., Burgess, N.D. & Hill, D.A. & Mustoe, S. (2000) *Bird Census Techniques* (Second edition). Academic Press, London.
- Colhoun, K. & Cummins, S. (2013), Birds of Conservation Concern in Ireland 2014–2019, *Irish Birds* **9**: 523-544
- Directorate General Environment (DGE) (2014) Wind turbines have minor impact on small-bird populations *Science for Environment Policy* **395**. A publication of the European Commission DGE News Alert Service, edited by SCU, The University of the West of England, Bristol.
- Drewitt, A.L. & Langston, R. H.W. (2006). Assessing the impacts on wind farms and birds. *Ibis* **148**, 29-42. British Ornithologists Union.
- Fossitt, J. A. (2000) *A Guide to Habitats in Ireland*. Kilkenny: The Heritage Council.
- Mc Guinness, S., Muldoon, C., Tierney, N., Cummins, S., Murray, A., Egan, S., & Crowe, O. (2015). *Bird Sensitivity Mapping for Wind Energy Developments and Associated Infrastructure in the Republic of Ireland Guidance Document*. BirdWatch Ireland, Kilcoole, Wicklow.
- National Parks & Wildlife Service (NPWS) (2012). *River Shannon & River Fergus Estuaries Special Protection Area (Site Code 4077) Conservation Objectives Supporting Document VERSION 1*.
- Percival, S.M. (2003). *Birds and Wind farms in Ireland: A Review of Potential Issues and Impact Assessment*. Sustainable Energy Ireland.
- Robinson, JA, Colhoun, K, McElwaine, V & Rees, EC. (2004). *Whooper swan Cygnus cygnus (Iceland population) in Britain and Ireland 1960/61 – 1999/2000*. Waterbird Review Series, The Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust/Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Slimbridge.
- Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH), (2017). *Guidance: Recommended bird survey methods to inform impact assessment of onshore wind farms*. Scottish Natural Heritage
- Chris B. Thaxter, Graeme M. Buchanan, Jamie Carr, Stuart H. M. Butchart, Tim Newbold, Rhys E. Green, Joseph A. Tobias, Wendy B. Foden, Sue O'Brien and James W. Pearce-Higgins. (2017) Bird and bat species' global vulnerability to collision mortality at wind farms revealed through a trait-based assessment. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 284: 20170829. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0829>

Whitfield, D.P. & Madders, M. (2006). Upland Raptors and the Assessment of Wind farm Impacts. *Ibis* **148**, 43-56. British Ornithologists Union.

Wilson, M., Fernández-Bellón, D., Irwin, S. & O'Halloran, J. (2015). *The interactions between harrier harriers and wind turbines WINDHARRIER FINAL PROJECT REPORT*. School of Biological, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University College Cork, Cork.

Worden, J., Crowe, O., Einarsson, O., Gardarsson, A., McElwaine, G. & Rees, E.C. 2009. Population size and breeding success of the Icelandic Whooper swan *Cygnus cygnus*: results of the January 2005 international census. *Wildfowl* **59**: 17-40.



# **Appendix 1**

## NPWS Recommended Methodology for Assessment of Impacts Proposed by Wind farms

## **RECOMMENDED METHODOLOGY FOR ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS OF PROPOSED WINDFARMS ON BREEDING HEN HARRIER WITHIN THE KNOWN RANGE OF THE SPECIES IN IRELAND**

Of the two main threats to Hen Harriers from windfarms (collision and displacement), the possibility of indirect habitat loss, or displacement, if birds avoid a windfarm area is seen as the most immediate issue. Research to improve assessments of collision risk is ongoing in other countries; the proportion of the breeding population at risk from windfarms that have planning permission at present is small. Other proposed windfarms, within areas of importance for Hen Harrier, should be subject to Environmental Impact Assessment.

### **RELEVANT SPECIES**

Although these recommendations focus on the Hen Harrier as the species of concern, breeding Short-eared Owl may possibly occur at some sites, in which case an assessment of site importance should be made using the same methodology, at times of day appropriate to the species.

### **ASSESSMENT OF SITE IMPORTANCE**

Nine upland areas have been identified by Dúchas as being of national importance for Hen Harrier. All areas of heath/bog habitats within the indicative boundaries of these areas lie within 5km of known nest sites located during the 1998-2000 survey, *i.e.* within the normal foraging range of the male of each pair. Any proposed development, which may have impacts on such habitats, should be subject to a detailed survey, to determine Hen Harrier usage for hunting (foraging).

Important aspects to be considered in an assessment are:

The numbers and breeding success of Hen Harriers that may forage in the area, ideally within 5km of the proposed development site,

The time spent by Hen Harriers in all parts of the site,

The cumulative impact of other windfarms in the area that have been granted planning permission,

Spatial variation in an area's importance to foraging Hen Harriers when:

either occupancy or breeding success are below normal,

fire, overgrazing or turbary temporarily reduce the vegetation cover and hence its value to foraging birds,

nest locations change from year to year.

## **METHODS**

### Survey of breeding occupancy:

An appropriate survey in good weather conditions, with at least two visits in April of breeding pairs within 5km of the site from outer turbines and a second series of visits in July to determine breeding success, would be necessary to interpret results from foraging observations. In years with a run of poor weather during April and May, an intermediate series of observations may be required in June to confirm occupancy by breeding pairs or locate late arriving pairs. Useful information is given in Gilbert *et al.* (1998).

Methodology should be detailed giving dates of survey, map of area searched, and habitat types searched. Results should not include detailed nest locations in public documents (e.g. EIS), but should include minimum distance from the development site.

Data on the number and distance from the site of breeding pairs recorded in the 1998-2000 survey (Norriss *et al.* 2002), and in subsequent years where available, can be provided by Dúchas (contact [dnorriss@duchas.ie](mailto:dnorriss@duchas.ie)).

### **Survey of proposed development site**

#### Description of survey area:

The assessment area should include a strip at least 500m beyond the outermost turbines.

A habitat map of the study area should be produced based on the habitat categories listed in Appendix 1. A more detailed habitat map (for example using the classification in Fossitt (2000)) may be appropriate in some cases.

#### Use of the site:

Madders' (2002) methodology, using timed watches from fixed vantage points (VPs), suits well and can be adapted to local circumstances; those aspects of his procedures relevant to Hen Harriers are summarised below. The objective is to estimate the amount of time birds spend foraging per unit area of the site.

Two 3hour watches per VP per month are recommended for the duration of the breeding season (April – July). A gap of at least one hour between watches is advised.

Restrict observations to 0700-2000 hours and suspend observations during periods of poor

visibility and rain.

Select the minimum number of VPs consistent with complete coverage of the site. VPs should be outside the site where feasible, or located so as to avoid disturbance within the site, but within 1km of the ground being observed. Choose inconspicuous locations, well away from nests, to minimise impact on the birds.

Foraging Harriers usually fly within 10m of the ground and characteristically change direction and height abruptly when searching for prey. Record duration of observation and activity of any Harriers observed according to habitat category.

Map the area of each habitat visible from each VP, either in the field, from photographs or using a GIS. If there is area overlap from different VPs, observation areas should be summed when calculating overall observation rates/unit area. Because fields of view can change substantially with even minor changes in VP location, exact relocation using a GPS and perhaps an inconspicuous marker on the ground is recommended if more than one observer is involved.

The Report should include a summary of the sections of the site used by foraging Hen Harriers, broken down by broad habitat category.

If successful breeding is demonstrated in or close to a site, then VP observations should be continued into August to identify areas used by recently fledged juveniles prior to dispersal.

## **References**

- Fossitt, J. A. (2000) A Guide to Habitats in Ireland. Heritage Council. Kilkenny.
- Gilbert, G., Gibbons, D.W. and Evans, J. (1998) Bird Monitoring Methods – a manual of techniques for key UK species. RSPB, Sandy.
- Madders, M. (2002) Method statement for Vantage Point watches. In: Survey methods to assess windfarm impacts on upland bird communities. Scottish Natural Heritage.
- Norriss, D.W., Marsh, J., McMahon, D. and Oliver, G.A. (2002) A national survey of breeding Hen Harriers *Circus cyaneus* in Ireland 1998-2000. Irish Birds 7: 1-10.

## **APPENDIX 1**

Recommended classification of habitat types for use in assessments of wind farm sites for Hen Harrier

Habitat code

## Description

NF

NF 2

New forestry plantation, trees 20-30 cm high

NF 3

New forestry plantation, trees c 1m in height

NF 4

New forestry plantation, trees >2m in height, patchy thickets

2nd F

2nd F 1 & 2

2nd rotation forestry plantation, trees 20-30 cm high

2nd F 3

New forestry plantation, trees c 1m in height

2nd F 4

New forestry plantation, trees >2m in height, patchy thickets

F

Post thicket plantation

G

Grazing

RG

Rough Grazing & rushy pasture

H/B

Heath / Bog

DE


Deciduous woodland & scrub

GO, Gorse

## **Appendix 2**

### Survey Field Sheets

See over for additional notes


Location: Shroneowen WF	Project No: 19746	VP No:	Observer	Date:		Visibility:
				Time:	Start: Finish:	
	Weather		Wind Speed & Direction:		Temp:	
Barn Owl	Goldfinch	Long-eared Owl	Sand Martin	Whooper Swan		
Blackbird	Grasshopper Warbler	Long-tailed Tit	Sedge Warbler	Wigeon		
Blackcap	Grt Black-backed Gull	Magpie	Shelduck	Willow Warbler		
Black-headed Gull	Great Tit	Mallard	Siskin	Woodcock		
Blue Tit	Greenfinch	Meadow Pipit	Skylark	Woodpigeon		
Brambling	Grey Heron	Merlin	Snipe	Wren		
Bullfinch	Grey Partridge	Mistle Thrush	Song Thrush	Yellowhammer		
Buzzard	Grey Wagtail	Moorhen	Sparrowhawk	<b>Additional Species</b>		
Chaffinch	Greylag Goose	Mute Swan	Sptd Flycatcher			
Chiffchaff	Hen Harrier	Peregrine	Starling			
Coal Tit	Herring Gull	Pheasant	Stock Dove			
Collared Dove	Hooded Crow	Pied Wagtail	Stonechat			
Coot	House Martin	Raven	Swallow			
Crossbill	House Sparrow	Red Grouse	Swift			
Cuckoo	Jackdaw	Redpoll	Teal			
Curlew	Jay	Redshank	Tree Sparrow			
Dunlin	Kestrel	Redwing	Treecreeper			
Dunnock	Lapwing	Reed Bunting	Water Rail			
Fieldfare	Lsr-blk-bk Gull	Ringed Plover	Wheatear			
Goldcrest	Linnet	Robin	White-fronted Goose			
Golden Plover	Little Grebe	Rook	Whitethroat			

**TARGET SPECIES FIELD SHEET**

Project No: <b>19746</b>		VP:	Date:	Survey Sheet No:	Surveyor:	Species:			
Location: <b>Shroneowen</b>									
VP Start:			Wind Speed (B 'fort)	Wind Direction:	Visibility:				
VP Finish:									
Weather Conditions:									
Disturbance:									
Time first observed:	<b>Activity Codes:</b> (H) Hunting, (F) Flying, (S) Soaring, (C) Circling, (P) Perched, (G) On Ground, (M) Mobbing, (D) Display. <b>Habitat Codes:</b> (IG) Improved Grassland, (RG) Rough Grassland, (G) Grassland Moorland, (S) Scrub, (B) Bog, (1F) 1 <sup>st</sup> Rotation Forest, (2F) 2 <sup>nd</sup> Rotation Forest, (T) Thicket/Pole Stage Forest, (CF) Clear Fell, (H) Heather Moorland, (L) Lake, (P) Pond, (TSW) Temporary Standing Water, (O) Other (specify):								
Sex:									
Age:									
0m – 20m (Seconds)	Activity/Habitat	20-50m	Activity/Habitat	50-100m	Activity/Habitat	100-150m	Activity/Habitat	>150m	Activity/Habitat

Notes:





**Legend**

- Vantage Point Location
- Parking Location
- Boundary

Map Reproduced From Ordnance Survey Ireland  
 By Permission Of The Government.  
 Licence Number EN 0015720.

0 0.475 0.95 km

**Project Title:**  
 Shronowen Wind Farm

**Client:** Shronowen Wind Farm Limited

**Drawing Title:**  
 Vantage Point Locations

**Drawn:** JK      **Checked:** DoB

**Date:** 19/11/2020      **Scale (A4):** 1:25,000

**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
 Engineering and Environmental Consultants

## **Appendix 3**

### Vantage Point Survey Summary

## Vantage Point Survey Summary

### Location: Shronowen

#### October 2018 VP 1-3

VP	Date	Observer	Start Time	Finish Time	Length of VP watch (hours)	Weather
1	29/11/2018	PR	09.30	12.30	3	Cloud cover 5/8-6/8-7/8 after 11, showery with clear spells, clouding over after 11. South west wind f 2, temp 8oC, visibility >5km
1	08/11/2018	PR	15.30	17.36	2 .06	Cloud cover 4/8 and 2/8 after 4, overcast at times with light showers occasionally, south west wind f1-2, temp 8oC, visibility excellent with haze in distance.
2	10/11/2018	PR	10.00	13.00	3	Cloud cover 3/8, bright, almost cloudless, cold bud mild for this time of year, f2-5, temp 10-12oc, visibility excellent.
2	16/11/2018	PR	08.45	11.45	3	Cloud cover 7/8, dull and breezy, wind south south-east, f 2-3, temp 11oC, visibility good.
3	07/11/2018	PR	13.30	17.45	4.15	Cloud cover 5, a bright dry and very cold afternoon, calm after 4oC, f 4N, temp 8-2oC, visibility excellent.
3	10/11/2018	PR	13.20	15.33	2.13	Cloud cover 3/8, bright, dry mild for this time of year, south wind f1-2, temp 12-9oC, visibility excellent.

#### November 2018 VP 1-3

VP	Date	Observer	Start Time	Finish Time	Length of VP watch (hours)	Weather
1	16/11/2018	PR	09.00	12.00	3	Cloud cover 7/8, dull, south south-east wind f2, temp 8-11oC, visibility >2km.
1	29/11/2018	PR	12.30	17.30	5	Cloud cover 8/8, overcast, dull, showery, showers brief but intense, south-west wind f2-3, light wind chill, temp 9oC, visibility >5km.
2	20/12/2018	CON	09.35	12.35	3	Cloud cover 5/8, showery winters day, bright periods but mainly overcast, not cold, breezy west north-west <f1, temp 8-10oC, visibility good to 4-5km to ok.
2	15/12/2018	PR	11.00	14.00	3	Cloud cover 6/8, south wind f2-5, temp 10oC, visibility >2km.
3	16/11/2018	HD	12.00	15.00	3	Cloud cover 8/8, dull and overcast, breezy, east south-east wind, f 1-

## Vantage Point Survey Summary

VP	Date	Observer	Start Time	Finish Time	Length of VP watch (hours)	Weather
						4, temp 13oC, visibility good.
3	20/12/2018	CON	12.45	15.45	3	Cloud cover 5/8, getting more windy breeze has picked up, still overcast with infrequent showers, bright spells, north north-west wind f1 some gusty f2-3, temp 8-10oC, visibility no rain 4-5km clear ok with raining.

### December 2018 VP 1-3

VP	Date	Observer	Start Time	Finish Time	Length of VP watch (hours)	Weather
1	08/02/2018	PR	09.30	12:30	3	Cloud cover 6/8 until 11, 4/8 after 11 and 7/8 after 12, overcast, cold, heavy intense showers occasionally, bright after 11 but showers still occur throughout, with sleet, west wind f2, gusts f5, temp 6oC with mild wind chill, visibility >2km to >5km.
1	09/02/2018	PR	10.30	13.30	3	Cloud cover 6/8, overcast, light showers intermittently cold, cloud after 1pm, west north-west wind f6-7, moderate wind chill, temp 3-5oC, visibility 2km - >5km.
2	12/01/2019	PR	11.15	14.22	3.07	Cloud cover 8/8, overcast, dry with light drizzle periodically, west north-west f3, temp 8-10oC, visibility good to >2km
2	13/01/2019	PR	13.53	17.13	4.20	Cloud cover 7/8 until 4 and 5/8 after 4, overcast, light drizzle periodically mild, dry after 4oC, west wind f5, temp 10-6oC, visibility >2km even in drizzle.
3	16/01/2019	PR	14.00	17.00	3	Cloud cover 5/8, bright, cold, dry with short brief heavy showers intermittently, some sleet, north-west wind, f 2-3 dropping to calm for short periods, temp 5-3oC, visibility >5km.
3	06/02/2019	PR	14.00	17.00	3	Cloud cover 6/8, heavy showers, but bright between them, mild day, south-west wind f2, temp 7oC, visibility very good.

## Vantage Point Survey Summary

### January 2019 VP 1-3

VP	Date	Observer	Start Time	Finish Time	Length of VP watch (hours)	Weather
1	27/02/2019	GH	07.15	10.15	3	Cloud cover 1/8, light fog at start, burning off with rising sun, calm at beginning light south-easterly at the end, temp 2oC, visibility 1-2km first and >2km there after.
1	16/02/2019	PR	12.45	15.45	3	Cloud cover 6/8, overcast, dry and windy, south south-west wind f5, calm at times, temp 9oC, visibility 2km - >5km.
2	07/02/2019	CMc	09.00	12.00	3	Cloud cover 4/8, showers but bright and mostly clear, west south-west f2, temp 7oC, visibility very good.
2	07/02/2019	CMc	13.00	16.00	3	Cloud cover 8/8, showers, wind starting to pick up, west south-west wind f2-3, temp 8oC, visibility very good.
3	14/02/2019	CMc	09.00	12.00	3	Cloud cover 4/8, breezy dry, bright day, south-east wind, f3, temp 10oC, visibility very good.
3	14/02/2019	CMc	12.00	15.00	3	Cloud cover 4/8, dry, bright, windy, south east wind f3, temp 11oC, visibility very good.

### February 2019 VP 1-3

VP	Date	Observer	Start Time	Finish Time	Length of VP watch (hours)	Weather
1	09/03/2019	PR	12.05	15.05	3	Cloud cover 7/8, overcast, cold, windy, dry, south-westerly f5, temp 6oC, visibility >2km.
1	17/03/2019	CMc	09.00	12.00	3	Cloud cover 5/8, blustery day, mostly dry (1 shower), bright and clear, west north-west wind f2/3, temp 7oC, visibility very good.
2	10/03/2019	CMc	10.00	13.00	3	Cloud cover 6/8, showers (some hail), very blustery, west f4, temp 4oC, visibility very good.
2	10/03/2019	CMc	14.00	17.00	3	Cloud cover 6/8, heavy hail showers, windy, west north-west wind f4 gusts f5, temp 4oC, visibility very good.
3	27/02/2019	CMc	11.15	14.15	3	Cloud cover 2/8, calm, dry bright, mild, south-east wind, f12, temp 12oC, visibility very good.
3	27/02/2019	CMc	14.45	17.45	3	Cloud cover 2/8, dry, calm, bright, warm, south east wind f2, temp 14oC, visibility very good.



## Vantage Point Survey Summary

### March 2019 VP 1-3

VP	Date	Observer	Start Time	Finish Time	Length of VP watch (hours)	Weather
1	30/03/2019	CMc	07.00	10.00	3	Cloud cover 7/8, calm, dry, mild day, westerly f1, temp 9oC, visibility excellent.
1	30/03/2019	CMc	10.00	13.00	3	Cloud cover 5/8, calm, dry, mild day, easterly f1, temp 10oC, visibility excellent.
2	18/03/2019	CMc	10.15	13.15	3	Cloud cover 8/8, cloudy, light showers, west north-west f1, temp 6oC, visibility very good.
2	23/03/2019	CMc	/	/	3	Cloud cover 8/8, calm, dry, cool day, east south-east wind f1, temp 10oC, visibility excellent.
3	18/03/2019	CMc	07.00	10.00	3	Cloud cover 8/8, cloudy, persistent, light rain, calm, west wind, f1, temp 7oC, visibility very good.
3	18/03/2019	CMc	13.45	16.45	3	Cloud cover 8/8, cloudy, light showers, west wind f2, temp 7oC, visibility very good.

## Appendix 4

### Target/Secondary Species Observations

Shronowen Winter 2018/2019 Target Species

Hen harrier														
Date	VP	Sex	Age	Map Flight Path No.	Habitat	No. Of Birds	Time of Flight/ Obs.	Activity	Flight Height (m)	Time (sec) in Height Category				
										Non-flight	0-50m	50 – 100m	>100m	>200m
10/11/18	2	Female	Adult	1	Bog	1	12.51	Flying	0-20m		6			
20/12/19	2	Female	Ringtail	2	Scrub, 1 <sup>st</sup> rotation forestry and bog	1	09.25	Flying	0-20m		30			
16/01/19	Ad hoc	Female	Adult	3	Bog	1	X	Flying			20			
								Perched	0-20m		30			
								Flying			15			
06/02/19	3	Female	Adult	4	Bog	1	10.30	Flying	0-20m		5			
27/02/19	1	Male	Adult	5	Heather moorland	1	09.35	Hunting	0-20m		20			

Kestrel														
Date	VP	Sex	Age	Map Flight Path No.	Habitat	No. Of Birds	Time of Flight/ Obs.	Activity	Flight Height (m)	Time (sec) in Height Category				
										Non-flight	0-50m	50 – 100m	>100m	>200m
07/11/18	3	Unknown	Adult	1	Bog	1	14.18	Hunting, soaring, and circling	20-50m		86			
									50-100m			30		
									20-50m		50			



Shronowen Winter 2018/2019 Target Species

									0-20m		50			
07/11/18	3	Unknown	Adult	2	Bog	1	15.05	Flying	0-20m		12			
16/11/18	3	Unknown	Unknown	3	Bog	1	12.10	Flying and hunting	0-20m		60			
									20-50m		180			
									20-50m		40			
16/11/18	3	Unknown	Unknown	4	1 <sup>st</sup> rotation forestry, bog and scrub	1	13.09	Flying and hunting	50-100m			240		
29/11/18	1	Unknown	Unknown	5	Bog and bog track	1	15.50	Flying, soaring and circling	0-20m		60			
13/01/19	2	Unknown	Adult	6	Bog	1	15.53	Hunting	50-100m			60		
									20-50m		30			
									50-100m			40		
									0-20m		12			
09/02/19	1	Unknown	Adult	7	Bog and scrub	1	11.22	Flying and hunting	0-20m		14			
									20-50m		32			
									0-20m		12			
27/02/19	1	Unknown	Unknown	8	Heather moorland1	1	07.20	Flying	20-50m		70			

Sparrowhawk														
Date	VP	Sex	Age	Map Flight Path No.	Habitat	No. Of Birds	Time of Flight/ Obs.	Activity	Flight Height (m)	Time (sec) in Height Category				
										Non-flight	0-50m	50 – 100m	>100m	>200m
10/11/18	2	Unknown	Adult	1	Bog	1	11.38	Perched	0-20m		136			

Shronowen Winter 2018/2019 Target Species

								Flying			8			
16/11/18	2	Unknown	Juvenile	2	Bog	1	11.38	Hunting and flying	0-20m		40			
								Perched			300			
27/02/19	3	Unknown	Adult	3	Bog	1	13.39	Flying	0-20m		15			

Whooper swan														
Date	VP	Sex	Age	Map Flight Path No.	Habitat	No. Of Birds	Time of Flight/ Obs.	Activity	Flight Height (m)	Time (sec) in Height Category				
										Non-flight	0-50m	50 – 100m	>100m	>200m
09/02/19	X	Unknown	Adults	Activity area	Improved grassland	14	17.00	On ground and Feeding	0-20m	1200				
16/02/19	X	Unknown	Adults	Activity area	Improved grassland	15	09.00	On ground and Feeding	0-20m	1800				
17/02/19	X	Unknown	Adults	Activity area	Improved grassland	15	14.30	On ground and Feeding	0-20m	1800				
09/03/19	X	Unknown	Adults	Activity area	Improved grassland	11	11.15	On ground and Feeding	0-20m	1800				
17/03/19	X	Unknown	Adults	Activity area	Improved grassland	12	11.30	On ground	0-20m	1800				
18/03/19	X	Unknown	Adults	Activity area	Improved grassland	13	10.15	On ground	0-20m	1800				

Cormorant														
Date	VP	Sex	Age	Map Flight Path No.	Habitat	No. Of Birds	Time of Flight/ Obs.	Activity	Flight Height (m)	Time (sec) in Height Category				
										Non-flight	0-50m	50 – 100m	>100m	>200m
16/11/18	2	Unknown	Unknown	1	Bog	1	11.40	Flying	0-20m		40			
16/11/18	3	Unknown	Unknown	2	Bog	1	12.31	Flying	20-50m		50			


Shronowen Winter 2018/2019 Target Species

Snipe														
Date	VP	Sex	Age	Map Flight Path No.	Habitat	No. Of Birds	Time of Flight/ Obs.	Activity	Flight Height (m)	Time (sec) in Height Category				
										Non-flight	0-50m	50 – 100m	>100m	>200m
20/12/18	3	Unknown	Adult	1	Bog and Rough Grassland	1	15.10	Flying	0-20m		20			
08/02/19	1	Unknown	Adult	2	Bog	1	10.45	Flying	0- 20m		12			









Mallard														
Date	VP	Sex	Age	Map Flight Path No.	Habitat	No. Of Birds	Time of Flight/ Obs.	Activity	Flight Height (m)	Time (sec) in Height Category				
										Non-flight	0-50m	50 – 100m	>100m	>200m
18/03/19	2	Male & Female	Adult	1	Bog	2	12.25	Flying	20-50m		10			

## Appendix 5

### Flight Paths and Activity Areas




PROJECT	Shroneowen Winter Survey 2018/19		
PROJECT NO.	19746		
TITLE	Hen Harrier		
CLIENT	EM Power		
DRAWN BY	VH	CHECKED BY	DoB
DATE	11/01/2021		
DRAWING NO	Map 1	REF.	C

	site	<b>Hen Harrier FP</b>		3, 16/01/2019
	Vantage Point Location	<b>REF, DATE</b>		4, 06/02/2019
				1, 10/11/2018
				5, 27/02/2019
				2, 20/12/2018
			Hen Harrier Circling	

Scale (A3): 1:20,000


0 0.175 0.35 Kilometers

Map Reproduced From Ordnance Survey Ireland By Permission Of The Government.  
Licence Number: EN 0015719



**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
Engineering and Environmental Consultants

Reen Point, Blennerville, Tralee, Co. Kerry  
Tel: +353(0)66 7123404  
fax: +353(0)66 7126586  
Email: tralee@mwp.ie



PROJECT	Shroneowen Winter Survey 2018/19		
PROJECT NO.	19746		
TITLE	Kestrel		
CLIENT	EM Power		
DRAWN BY	VH	CHECKED BY	DoB
DATE	11/01/2021		
DRAWING NO	Map 1	REF.	C

site  
● Vantage Point Location


**Kestrel Flight Path 1-8**

<span style="font-size: 1.5em;">→</span> 1, 07/11/2018 <span style="font-size: 1.5em;">→</span> 2, 07/11/2018 <span style="font-size: 1.5em;">→</span> 3, 16/11/2018 <span style="font-size: 1.5em;">→</span> 4, 16/11/2018	<span style="font-size: 1.5em;">→</span> 5, 29/11/2018 <span style="font-size: 1.5em;">→</span> 6, 13/01/2019 <span style="font-size: 1.5em;">→</span> 7, 09/02/2019 <span style="font-size: 1.5em;">→</span> 8, 27/02/2019
--	--

Scale (A3): 1:20,000


0 0.175 0.35 Kilometers

Map Reproduced From Ordnance Survey Ireland By Permission Of The Government.  
Licence Number: EN 0015719



**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
Engineering and Environmental Consultants

Reen Point, Blennerville, Tralee, Co. Kerry  
 Tel: +353(0)66 7123404  
 Fax: +353(0)66 7126586  
 Email: tralee@mwp.ie



PROJECT	Shroneowen Winter Survey 2018/19		
PROJECT NO.	19746		
TITLE	Sparrowhawk		
CLIENT	EM Power		
DRAWN BY	VH	CHECKED BY	DoB
DATE	11/01/2021		
DRAWING NO	Map 1	REF.	C


**Sparrowhawk Flight Path**

- site
- Vantage Point Location
- ➔ 1, 10/11/2018
- ➔ 2, 16/11/2018
- ➔ 3, 27/02/2019

Scale (A3): 1:20,000


0 0.175 0.35 Kilometers

Map Reproduced From Ordnance Survey Ireland By Permission Of The Government.  
Licence Number: EN 0015719



**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
Engineering and Environmental Consultants

Reen Point, Blennerville, Tralee, Co. Kerry  
Tel: +353(0)66 7123404  
fax: +353(0)66 7126586  
Email: tralee@mwp.ie



PROJECT	Shroneowen Winter Survey 2018/19		
PROJECT NO.	19746		
TITLE	Whooper Swan		
CLIENT	EM Power		
DRAWN BY	VH	CHECKED BY	DoB
DATE	11/01/2021		
DRAWING NO	Map 1	REF.	C

site
  Whooper Swan Activity

Vantage Point Location

Scale (A3): 1:20,000


0 0.175 0.35 Kilometers

Map Reproduced From Ordnance Survey Ireland By Permission Of The Government.  
Licence Number: EN 0015719

**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
Engineering and Environmental Consultants

Reen Point, Blennerville, Tralee, Co. Kerry  
 Tel: +353(0)66 7123404  
 Fax: +353(0)66 7126586  
 Email: tralee@mwp.ie





PROJECT	Shroneowen Winter Survey 2018/19		
PROJECT NO.	19746		
TITLE	Cormorant		
CLIENT	EM Power		
DRAWN BY	VH	CHECKED BY	DoB
DATE	11/01/2021		
DRAWING NO	Map 1	REF.	C

**Cormorant Flight Path**


site  
● Vantage Point Location

➔ 1, 16/11/2018  
➔ 2, 16/11/2018

Scale (A3): 1:20,000


0 0.175 0.35 Kilometers

Map Reproduced From Ordnance Survey Ireland By Permission Of The Government.  
Licence Number: EN 0015719



**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
Engineering and Environmental Consultants

Reen Point, Blennerville, Tralee, Co. Kerry  
 Tel: +353(0)66 7123404  
 Fax: +353(0)66 7126586  
 Email: tralee@mwp.ie



PROJECT	Shroneowen Winter Survey 2018/19		
PROJECT NO.	19746		
TITLE	Snipe		
CLIENT	EM Power		
DRAWN BY	VH	CHECKED BY	DoB
DATE	11/01/2021		
DRAWING NO	Map 1	REF.	C

**site**

**Vantage Point Location** ●

**Snipe Flight Path**


➔ 1, 20/12/2018

➔ 2, 08/02/2019

Scale (A3): 1:20,000


0 0.175 0.35 Kilometers

Map Reproduced From Ordnance Survey Ireland By Permission Of The Government.  
Licence Number: EN 0015719




**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
Engineering and Environmental Consultants


Reen Point, Blennerville, Tralee, Co. Kerry  
Tel: +353(0)66 7123404  
fax: +353(0)66 7126586  
Email: tralee@mwp.ie



PROJECT	Shroneowen Winter Survey 2018/19		
PROJECT NO.	19746		
TITLE	Mallard		
CLIENT	EM Power		
DRAWN BY	VH	CHECKED BY	DoB
DATE	11/01/2021		
DRAWING NO	Map 1	REF.	C

site  
● Vantage Point Location     ➔ 1, 18/03/2019  
**Mallard Flight Path**

Scale (A3): 1:20,000  
 0 0.175 0.35 Kilometers  
  
 Map Reproduced From Ordnance Survey Ireland By Permission Of The Government.  
 Licence Number: EN 0015719


**Malachy Walsh and Partners**  
 Engineering and Environmental Consultants  
 Reen Point, Blennerville, Tralee, Co. Kerry  
 Tel: +353(0)66 7123404  
 Fax: +353(0)66 7126586  
 Email: tralee@mwp.ie

## **Appendix 6**

### Non-Target Species of Conservation Concern recorded during VP Surveys

The following summary outlines all non-target species of conservation concern recorded during the winter 2018/2019 VP surveys.

Meadow pipit (*Anthus pratensis*) was the only non-target red-listed species which was recorded. Meadow pipit was recorded in every month throughout the site with numbers peaking in October. Amber-listed non-target species recorded in every month included robin (*Erithacus rubecula*) and stonechat (*Saxicola torquatus*). Amber-listed species which were frequently recorded included skylark (*Alauda arvensis*). While other less frequently recorded species comprised house martin (*Delichon urbicum*), mistle thrush (*Turdus viscivorus*) and starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*).

22 green-listed species were recorded during the summer vantage point surveys. The majority of these species are common and widespread and occur in a wide variety of habitat-types, many of which are found within the survey area. Most of these species are present throughout the year while some are summer visitors to Ireland.

The following table outlines monthly peak counts for all non-target species of conservation concern recorded during vantage point surveys at Shronowen winter 2018-2019.

Common Name	Latin Name	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
House martin	<i>Delichon urbicum</i>						3
Meadow pipit	<i>Anthus pratensis</i>	37	18	27	39	6	4
Mistle thrush	<i>Turdus viscivorus</i>				1		
Robin	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	2	1	3	1	1	2
Skylark	<i>Alauda arvensis</i>		2		2		2
Starling	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>					20	
Stonechat	<i>Saxicola torquatus</i>	7	2	2	2	2	1

## **Appendix 7**

### List of All Species Recorded

The following table outlines peak counts for all species recorded during the winter 2018/2019 surveys at Shronowen. A total of 36 species were recorded. (Annex I species\* are highlighted in bold).

Common Name	Latin Name	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar
Blackbird	<i>Turdus merula</i>	3	4	2	1	2	2
Blue tit	<i>Cyanistes caeruleus</i>		1	1			
Chaffinch	<i>Fringilla coelebs</i>			1		2	1
Cormorant	<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	1	1				
Curlew	<i>Numenius arquata</i>	1					
Dunnock	<i>Prunella modularis</i>		1	1	1		
Fieldfare	<i>Turdus pilaris</i>		15				
Grey heron	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>		2				
Goldfinch	<i>Carduelis carduelis</i>	9					
Great tit	<i>Parus major</i>	2	1		1		
<b>Hen harrier*</b>	<i>Circus cyaneus</i>			1			
Hooded crow	<i>Corvus cornix</i>	1	1	1	1		
House martin	<i>Delichon urbicum</i>	17	5	4	3	8	2
Jackdaw	<i>Corvus monedula</i>						3
Kestrel	<i>Falco tinnunculus</i>	2					
Longtailed tit	<i>Aegithalos caudatus</i>	2	1	1	1		
Magpie	<i>Pica pica</i>	1					
Mallard	<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	3		1			
Meadow pipit	<i>Anthus pratensis</i>	1					
Mistle thrush	<i>Turdus viscivorus</i>	37	18	27	39	6	4
Pheasant	<i>Phasianus colchicus</i>				1		
Pied wagtail	<i>Motacilla alba</i>	1			1		1
Raven	<i>Corvus corax</i>	5			2	2	2
Redpoll	<i>Carduelis flammea cabaret</i>	10	2	6	7	2	2
Reed bunting	<i>Emberzia schoenichus</i>				23		
Robin	<i>Erithacus rubecula</i>	1	1	2	3		1
Rook	<i>Corvus frugilegus</i>	2	1	3	1	1	2
Skylark	<i>Alauda arvensis</i>	10	28	7	8	2	3
Snipe	<i>Gallinago gallinago</i>		1	1			
Song thrush	<i>Turdus philomelos</i>		2		2		2
Sparrowhawk	<i>Accipiter nisus</i>	1	3	2	1	1	
Starling	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	1				1	
Stonechat	<i>Saxicola rubicola</i>					20	
Woodpigeon	<i>Columba palumbus</i>	7	2	2	2	2	1
<b>Whooper swan*</b>	<i>Cygnus cygnus</i>					15	13
Wren	<i>Troglodytes troglodytes</i>	2	0	1	1	2	